

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.5

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).3163-3164/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-01-2026 in WP No. 1416/2025 07-01-2026 in WP No. 2413/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras at Chennai]

BHAKTAVATSALAM EDUCATIONAL TRUST
THROUGH A VAMANAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DISTRICT COLLECTOR KANCHEEPURAM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 29050/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 9962/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT
IA No. 9964/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 30202/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 29037/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 30201/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES
IA No. 9965/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES

Date : 22-07-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. C.A. Sundaram, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Aarthi Rajan, AOR
Mr. S. Santanam Swaminadhan, Adv.
Mr. Manuraj S., Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Abhilasha Shrawat, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. Aman Rawat, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Haripriya Padmanabhan, AAG
Mrs. Kanika Kalaiyarasan, AOR
Mrs. Aditi Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR
Ms. Purnima Krishna, AOR

Mr. Anish R. Shah, AOR
Mr. Ankit Sahu, Adv.
Mr. V. Thillai Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Prashanto Chandra Sen, Sr. Adv./AAG
Ms. Jayasree Narasimhan, AOR
Mr. D. Bhanu Pradeep, Adv.
Mr. R. Sarabeshvaran, Adv.
Mr. Siddheesh Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Rajlakshmi Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sayantan Chanda, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. By our order dated 19.03.2026, we had suggested that the petitioner may appoint one expert planner from their side and the State including the Corporation shall appoint one planner from their side.
2. We had also suggested that the experts should sit, talk and undertake a detailed survey of the land in question, the place where the bus terminal is to come up and also suggest the alternative sites which may cause least inconvenience and prejudice to the educational institutions.
3. Today, when the matter was taken up for further hearing, Mr. Sundaram, the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner brought to our notice that Government now wants 21 acres of land and the suggestions put forward by them are not acceptable to the States.
4. We tried to understand what has been shown to us by

Mr. Sundaram but Mr. Rohatgi, the learned senior counsel appearing for the State would fairly submit that State is not agreeable to such understanding.

5. In the aforesaid view, the matter will have to be now heard on its own merits.

6. We release the matters from being treated as part-heard.

7. Let the matters be notified before the appropriate Bench for further hearing.

8. Let Diary No.28665/2026 be also heard with these matters.

(HARPREET KAUR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)