

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 33197/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-09-2025 in CWP No. 24764/2021 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh]

LEKSHMI G. & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VIRENDER KUMAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

[ONLY IA NO. 91640/2026 IS LISTED UNDER THIS ITEM]
(IA No. 91640/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

Date : 27-07-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. P. S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Raghavendra S. Srivatsa, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Venkita Subramoniam T.R, AOR
Mr. V. R. Raman, Adv.
Mr. Hari Vishnu, Adv.
Mr. Varun Mudgal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Kamal Sehgal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Harsh Singhal, AOR
Ms. Mugdha Avnish Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Utkarsh Singhal, Adv.
Ms. Michelle Zaidi, Adv.

Mr. Ajit Sharma, AOR
Mr. Kanchan Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Yuvrajsinh C Solanki, Adv.
Mr. Anant Ram Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Lareb Habib Ansari, Adv.
Ms. Shweta Jain, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Senger, Adv.

Mr. Rahat Bansal, AOR

Upon hearing the counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. We have heard the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the parties and perused the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No.23, the official respondent (EPFO).

2. In the said counter affidavit, a specific reference has been made to paragraph 38, which reads thus:

"38. In view of the facts and circumstances stated above, it is respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to dispose the present Special Leave Petition. It is further prayed that the answering respondents be permitted to allow the promotions to the post of Enforcement Officer / Accounts Officer (EO/AO), subject to the final decision/outcome of the present case by this Hon'ble Court."

3. Suffice it is to observe that this Interlocutory Application deserves to be allowed without prejudice to the contentions made in the Special Leave Petition, as withholding the promotion shall not benefit any of the parties involved and is also contrary to public interest.

4. In view of the above, we allow the Interlocutory Application subject to outcome of the final order to be passed in the Special Leave Petition. Accordingly, the Interlocutory Application stands allowed.

5. Promotion order to be passed in pursuance of this order, will not give any right to the other parties.

S.L.P. (C) No. 33197 of 2025

**1. List the Special Leave Petition in the month of
October, 2026.**

**(SHUBHAM SONI)
COURT MASTER (SH)**

**(POONAM VAID)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**