



Serial No. 04
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No.356 of 2021

Date of Order: 12.06.2026

1. Hills Cement Company Limited
A company incorporated under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 having its registered office at village, Mynkree, 116 KM Stone (NH-44), Sub Division: Khliehriat, District: East Jaintia Hills District, Meghalaya, Pincode-793200.

2. Shri. Tejash Kumai Baid,
Son of Shri Mahendra Baid,
R/o R.C. Baid Building, Jain Gali Kedar Road Bylane-1
Near Rituraj Hotel, Guwahati-781001, Assam
Authorised signatory of Hills Cement Company Ltd.

.....Petitioner

-VERSUS-

1. Union of India represented by the
Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of
Commerce & Industry, Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi-110011.

2. The Chairman, Directorate General of Foreign Trade,
Udyog Bhawan, H-Wing, New Delhi-110011,
Gate No.2, Maulana Azad Road.

3. The Chairman, EPCG Committee, Ministry of
Commerce & Industry (Department of Commerce), 507
Udyog Bhawan, Maulana Azad Road, New Delhi-110011.

4. Foreign Trade Development Office,
Office of the Joint Director General,
Foreign Trade Guwahati.

5. Directorate of Revenue Intelligence,
Ministry of Finance, Guwahati Zonal Unit,
Mainaak Tower, Ground and 1st Floor,
G.S. Road, Christian Basti, Guwahati-781005.

.....Respondents



Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice B. Bhattacharjee, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Appellant(s) : Mr. S. Khyriem, Adv

For the Respondent(s) : Dr. N. Mozika, DSGI with
Ms. R. Fancon, Adv

(ORAL)

Heard learned counsels appearing for the parties.

The petitioner company by way of this writ petition has questioned the inactions of the respondents to decide upon various representations/applications filed by the petitioner, more particularly, the applications dated 12.10.2020 and 02.04.2021 seeking relief for fulfilment of export obligations.

Dr. N. Mozika, learned DSGI appearing for the respondents, by drawing attention of this Court to paragraph 9 of the affidavit-in-opposition of the respondent Nos. 1 – 5, submits that the reason for non-disposal of the representations dated 12.10.2020 and 02.04.2021 appears to be because of the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic at that relevant point of time. He further submits that the pendency of this writ petition is also cited to be another reason for non-consideration of the aforesaid representations. The learned DSGI, therefore, fairly submits that this writ petition can be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to dispose of the representations within a specific period of time.

As the primary grievance of the writ petitioner is against non-disposal of the representations dated 12.10.2020 and 02.04.2021, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents, particularly the



respondent Nos. 2, 3 and 4, to dispose of the representations dated 12.10.2020 and 02.04.2021 within a period of 3 (three) months from today.

Judge

Meghalaya
12.06.2026
"Shrity,PS"