



CGHC010203722016



2026:CGHC:45933

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 2224 of 2016

1. Association Of Private Professional Un-Aided Colleges Of Chhattisgarh Registered No C.G. State 1377 Dated 28/04/2006 Through General Secretary, Mohd. Tahir Khan Aged About 66 Yrs S/o Late Ismail Khan R/o H.I.G. 55, Amdinagar, Hudco, Bhilai Nagar, Pin 490001 Tah And District Durg Chhattisgarh
2. Tarkeshwar Kumar Patel S/o Shri Vijay Kumar, Aged About 23 Years B.A. M.A. Music Mo No 92469853 R/o Village Dhangaon, P.O. Doundi Lohara Distrcet Balod Pin 491771 Chhattisgarh
3. Devendra Kumar Patel S/o Shri Shyam Lal Aged About 22 Years B.Sc. Bio R/o Village Takam Post Chichola, District Rajnandgaon 491881mobile 07691949496

... **Petitioner(s)**

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Principal Secretary School Education Department Govt. Of Chhattisgarh, Secretariat Mahandi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Tah And District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Director, State Council Of Educaitonal Research And Training, Shankar Nagar, Raipur 492007, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Regional Director, National Council For Teachers Educaton Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shyamala Hills, Bhopal 462002 M.P., Madhya Pradesh
4. Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University,, Raipur 492010 Chhattisgarh Through Registrar, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Durg Unversity Raipur Naka Durg 491001 Through, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
6. Bastar University Jagdalpur Chhattisgarh Pin 495001 Through Registrar, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
7. Bilaspur University Old High Court Building Near Gandhi Chowk Bilaspur 495001

8. Surguja University Near Hospital Road, Darri Para Ambikapur, 497001
Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Ansh Goyal, Advocate
For State/Respondents No. 1, 2, 3:		Mr. Sourabh Sahu, Panel Lawyer
For Respondents No. 4 to 8	:	Mr. Neeraj Choubey, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

30.10.2026

1. At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners pleads no instruction in the matter.
2. Having considered the submission advanced by Mr. Goyal, this petition is dismissed. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to revive their prayer if cause of action still survives.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Siddhant