

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL**  
**PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Company Appeal (AT) No. 32 of 2026**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Vinayak Bhalani & Anr.**

**...Appellants**

**Versus**

**Sidmak Laboratories (India) Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**

**...Respondents**

**Present:**

**For Appellants : Mr. Saurabh Kalia, Advocate.**

**For Respondents : Mr. M. Davawala, Advocates for R-2, 4, 5, 8 & 9.**

**O R D E R**  
**(Hybrid Mode)**

**15.10.2026:** Respondent No. 6 is reported to have not been served with the notice. It is for this reason, that an Order dated 27.08.2026 was passed, directing the Appellant to serve the unserved Respondents. The Appellant has taken out private service and has accordingly filed an affidavit of service, which may not be reckoned as an acceptable mode of service recognized under the Rules.

**2.** Apart from this, the Registry has given a report dated 14.10.2026, stating that the Appellant has not supplied the requisites for effecting service on Respondent No. 6. In that eventuality, and in view of the report of the Registry dated 14.10.2026, the learned counsel for the Appellant is directed to supply the requisites before the Registry of this Tribunal, for effecting service on Respondent No. 6 through the Registry.

List this Company Appeal on **10<sup>th</sup> December, 2026.**

**[Justice Sharad Kumar Sharma]**  
**Member (Judicial)**

**[Indevar Pandey]**  
**Member (Technical)**

*rashmi/rr*