

128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CM-3306-C-2023 in/and
RSA-865-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 16, 2026

Atma Ram ... Appellant

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- None.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

On 11.02.2025, following order was passed:-

“The present appeal pertains to the year 2023 and the same is still at the stage of preliminary hearing inasmuch as notice of motion is yet to be issued. On six earlier occasions the case had been adjourned either on the request of learned counsel for the appellant or on the ground that none appeared on behalf of the appellant. Today again a request for an adjournment is made on behalf of the arguing counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is unwell. In the interest of justice, adjourned to 04.12.2025.”

Thereafter, the matter came up for actual hearing on 18.12.2025 and on that date, no body had appeared for the appellant. Today again, position is same as nobody has appeared for the appellant. All these circumstances indicate that the appellant is no longer interested in pursuing this appeal.

Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

July 16, 2026
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No