



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.20820 of 2010

**Gourang Ch. Mohapatra
& Anr.**

Petitioners
Mr. P.K. Nanda, Adv.

-versus-

**State Youth Welfare &
Anr.**

....

Opp. Parties
Mr. K.P. Nanda,
Adv. for O.P.1
Mr. S.R. Dash, Adv. for
O.P.2

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER
09.07.2026**

**Order
No**

M.C. No.16569 of 2024

- 10.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.** Heard.
- 3.** In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioners contended that they do not want to press this Misc. Case.
- 4.** Accordingly, the Misc. Case stands dismissed as not pressed.

**(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge**



W.P.(C) No.20820 of 2010

11. 1. On the oral prayer made by the learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners, he is permitted to correct the description of Opposite Party No.1 in Court today.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
3. Petitioners have filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer:-

"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court nay graciously be pleased to issue Rule Nisi calling men the Opp.parties to show cause as to why a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ(s) shall not be issued directing the Opp.parties to absorb the petitioners in the existing vacancy on regular basis before allowing other person from outsource agency to the job and further be pleased to direct the Opp. parties to provide all service benefits from date of their joining and upon perusal/or causes shown in case of the insufficiency, this Hon'ble Court may make the said rule absolute and may pass such other order/orders Hon'ble Court may think fit and proper; as this

And for which act of kindness, the petitioners as in duty bound shall ever pray."

4. However, taking into account the claim raised in the present Writ Petition, liberty is granted to the Petitioners to make a detailed representation before Opp. Party No.1 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of their claim, if any, within a period of three (3) weeks hence.
5. It is observed that if such a representation is filed within the aforesaid period, Opp. Party No.1 shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by the Opp. Party No.1 be communicated to the



Petitioners within the aforesaid time period. Till a decision is taken as directed, no coercive action be taken against the Petitioners.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat