

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. OF 2026

(Arising out of SLP (C) No. 2873/2026)

MANJULA & ORS.

A-1: Manjula

A-2: Sanchita

A-3: N. Sadhana

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

C.R. ARAVINDA & ANR.

R-1: C.R. Aravinda,

R-2: The Branch Manager,
Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd.

Respondent (s)

O R D E R

Heard Mr. D. L. Chidananda, learned counsel for the appellants and Mr. Nitin Saluja, learned counsel for the respondent No. 2- Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd.

2. Despite service of notice, respondent No. 1 has neither entered appearance nor anybody is present to represent him.

3. Leave granted.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
SAPNA BISHT
Date: 2026.10.01
17:38:25 IST
Reason:

The present appeal arises out of the order dated 10.08.2023 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru in MFA No.

6138/2012, by which the appeal filed by the appellants against rejection of the claim by the MACT was interfered with and the High Court has awarded Rs. 11,90,000/- (Rupees Eleven Lakhs Ninety Thousand) with 6% per annum interest from the date of filing of the claim. The appellants have filed the present petition seeking enhancement of the above amount of compensation.

5. After some arguments and discussions during the proceedings, when the Court called upon learned counsel for respondent No. 2 as to whether any amount can be offered by way of a settlement in view of the fact that the matter had already been referred earlier to the Lok Adalat but could not be disposed of by settlement, we note with appreciation that the parties have arrived at a settlement. In terms thereof, the appellants would be entitled to receive a lump sum amount of Rs. 14,00,000/- (Rupees Fourteen Lakhs) along with 6% interest from the date of filing of the claim petition.

6. We clarify here that we have only modified the amount of the compensation from Rs. 11,90,000/- (Rupees Eleven Lakhs Ninety Thousand) to Rs. 14,00,000/- (Rupees Fourteen Lakhs), and the rest of the terms of the impugned order shall remain unchanged. The same shall be paid forthwith by respondent No. 2-Insurance Company, 50% whereof may be recovered from respondent No. 1 as per the High Court order, after adjusting what may have been deposited during the interregnum. The same shall be done within six weeks from today.

7. Having regard to the aforesaid, the appeal is partly allowed.

8. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

.....J.
(AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH)

.....J.
(MANMOHAN)

NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 22nd, 2026

ITEM NO.64

COURT NO.9

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 2873/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-08-2023 in MFA No. 6138/2012 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

MANJULA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

C.R. ARAVINDA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 1035/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 22-09-2026 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. D. L. Chidananda, AoR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Nitin Saluja, AoR
Mr. Harsh Gattani, Adv.
Mr. Sakshit Bhardwaj, Adv.
Ms. Pranya Madan, Adv.
Ms. Shivani Mehta, Adv.

O R D E R

Heard Mr. D. L. Chidananda, learned counsel for the appellants and Mr. Nitin Saluja, learned counsel for the respondent No. 2-Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd.

2. Despite service of notice, respondent No. 1 has neither entered appearance nor anybody is present to represent him.

3. Leave granted.

4. The appeal is partly allowed in terms of the signed order

which is placed on the file.

5. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SACHIN KUMAR SRIVASTAVA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR