

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO(S). 28529/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04-02-2026 in DBSAW No. 17/2018 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jaipur]

RAJASTHAN STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VIMLA DEVI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 155172/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 155171/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.17305/2026 (Item 24)

IA No. 147859/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

WITH

SLP(C) No. 19523/2026 (XV)

IA No. 169146/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 28-07-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAY BISHNOI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. B S Rajesh Agrajit, Adv. (Item 23)

Mr. Vinay Kumar Ojha, Adv.

Ms. Jyoti Rana, Adv.

Mr. Sachin Chaudhary, Adv.

Ms. Priya Nagar, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Goswami, Adv.

Ms. Smriti Singh, Adv.

Mr. Satya Veer Singh, AOR

Mr. B S Rajesh Agrajit, Adv. (Item 24 & 24.1)

Mr. Vinay Kumar Ojha, Adv.

Mrs. Jyoti Rana, Adv.

Ms. Jyoti Rana, Adv.

Ms. Priya Nagar, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Goswami, Adv.

Ms. Smriti Singh, Adv.
Mr. Narendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Akash Singh, Adv.
Mr. Satya Veer Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the Corporation had the right to compulsorily retire its employee after he has attained the age of 50 years or on the concerned date has completed 25 years of service, whichever is earlier.
3. It is submitted that in paragraph 4 of the writ petition, the writ petitioner has himself admitted that he has rendered almost 26 years of service. In ground 'c' of the appeal preferred before the Division Bench of the High Court a specific plea was raised by the petitioner that the writ petitioner had completed 25 years of service and, therefore, the finding to the contrary is incorrect. It has also been urged that the Division Bench as well as the Single Judge of the High Court committed error in equating service with qualifying service because qualifying service is for the purpose of eligibility to pension.
4. Issue notice, returnable in eight weeks.
5. In the meantime, the effect and operation of the impugned order shall remain stayed.

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.17305/2026 (Item 24)
and SLP(C) No. 19523/2026 (24.1)

1. Issue notice, returnable in eight weeks.
2. In the meantime, the effect and operation of the impugned order shall remain stayed.

(KAVITA PAHUJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SAPNA BANSAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)