

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
AT CHENNAI
(APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

COMPANY APPEAL (AT) (CH) (Ins) No. 398/2026

In the matter of:

MR. GADDE BULLI RAJU

Representing the Essential Staff/Workers
The Jeypore Sugar Company Limited 8-71/1,
Gowripalli, Chagallu West Godavari,
Andhra Pradesh - 534342

...APPELLANT

Vs

S. HARI KARTHIK

Liquidator of M/s The Jeypore Sugar Co. Ltd
No. 3, A-Block, Tamil Nadu Police Housing Quarters,
Bharathi Avenue 2nd Street,
Kottur, Chennai - 600085

...RESPONDENT NO.1

IDBI BANK LTD.

Representing removed Secured Creditors
from SCC by Mr. Mohanasundram –
Asset Recovery Division 115, Annasalai,
Saidapet, Chennai 600 015

...RESPONDENT NO.2

STAFF & WORKER UNION OF M/S. JSCL

Represented by Mr. K.V.V. Sathyanarayana,
Reg. No: B,111812,016
Chagallu 534342
W.G. District, A.P

...RESPONDENT NO.3

M.Z. METAL & ALLOYS

Represented by Ahmed Taquiddin
Plot No: 16,17 R.P Dharmalingam Nagar,
Kundrathur, Chennai- 6000 69

...RESPONDENT NO.4

ADV (CA) V. VENKATA SIVAKUMAR

Claimant for reimbursement of liquidation costs

No: 10/11, Dr. Subbarayan Nagar Main Road,
Kodambakkam, Chennai – 600024

...RESPONDENT NO.5

STANDARD ASSETS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED

Scheme Proponent represented by their Directors

D.12, Phase-1, IDA Jeedimetla,
Hyderabad, Telangana, 500055

...RESPONDENT NO.6

Present :

For Appellant : Mr. T. Jayasankar, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr. J. Manivannan &
Mr. Madhanramu, Advocates for R1/Liquidator
Mr. Venkata Sivakumar, Advocate for R5

ORDER
(Hybrid Mode)

19.08.2026: This appeal is preferred challenging an order dismissing the application taken out by the appellant under Section 42 of IBC, in which the appellant has challenged rejection of their claim by the liquidator.

2. The learned Adjudicating Authority has merely said that they do not find any valid claim before the liquidator but has not explained why the claim is not valid.

3. The learned counsel for the liquidator tries to explain the same, but then that precisely is the point we would have appreciated had the learned Adjudicating Authority done the same.

4. Therefore, we are constrained to allow this appeal, and remand the matter back to the learned Adjudicating Authority, to hear the case afresh and pass a well-reasoned order upon the same.

This appeal is accordingly disposed of.

[Justice N. Seshasayee]
Member (Judicial)

[Jatindranath Swain]
Member (Technical)

SN/MS/AK