

24.08.2026
Court No.25
D/L No.3
S. Gayen

In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA 21962 of 2026
Abhishek Banerjee
Versus
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Ayan Bhattacharjee, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Agnish Basu
Mr. Vipul Vedant
Mr. Aditya Roy
Mr. Gourav Bose
Mr. Shounak Mondal

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Dhiraj Kumar Trivedi, Ld. ASGI
Mr. Arijit Majumder
Ms. Shreyashi Sarkar

...for the Union of India

Mr. Rivu Dutta
Mr. Sabyasachi Mandal

...for the State

Ms. Sweta mukherjee
Ms. Sakshi Bagaria

...for the HDFC Bank

1. The petitioner has filed the present writ application praying for a mandamus directing the respondent Nos. 4 to 6 to setting aside the suspension of the petitioner's bank account No. 50100174603764 maintained with the HDFC Bank, U.N. Brahmachari Street Branch as communication to the petitioner vide electronic mail dated August 10, 2026.
2. The matter was taken up for hearing on August 20, 2026. At the time of hearing, the bank has submitted a communication wherein it was informed to this Court that the petitioner is required to file the KYC Form, photo, address proof – Aadhaar/Voter

ID/Passport/Driving Licence and PAN Card. It was also mentioned that the KYC is not permitted by online due to the blockage of the account of the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner has submitted all the documents to the bank authorities.

3. Today when the matter is called, the learned counsel appearing for the bank has submitted the report wherein it reveals that the account of the petitioner has been regularized and both debit card and credit card are active and separate email communication has been sent to the petitioner regarding the activation of the debit card and credit card as well as the account.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without any reason and without any notice to the petitioner, the bank has freezed the account of the petitioner only to harass the petitioner.
5. Learned counsel for the bank submits that the bank has not intentionally or arbitrarily blocked the account of the petitioner as the bank has noticed the high risk factors on the account of the petitioner. Accordingly, the account of the petitioner is blocked.
6. Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the respective parties, this Court finds that the bank has already defreezed the account of the petitioner and the debit card and credit card have been activated, thus, this Court finds that no further order is required to be passed.
7. Accordingly, **WPA 21962 of 2026** stands **disposed of**.

8. All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.
9. Urgent Photostat certified copy, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with all formalities.

(Krishna Rao, J.)