

ITEM NO.301
(PART HEARD)

COURT NO.9

SECTION IV-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C) NO). 14999/2020

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-12-2019 in MFA No.1716/2017 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

SHRIRAM GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

NANDHITHA N.S. & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

AS PER ROP DT. 29-05-2026 "THE NAME OF MR. AMAN PANWAR, AAG FOR THE STATE OF KARNATAKA BE REFLECTED IN THE CAUSE LIST IN THE COLUMN OF RESPONDENTS".

IA No. 81309/2025 - EXTENSION OF TIME
IA No. 20075/2025 - STAY APPLICATION

Date : 07-09-2026 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Meenakshi Midha, Adv.(Through VC)
Mr. Garv Singh, Adv.
Ms. Vartika Gautam, Adv.
Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, AoR

State of Karnataka Mr. Aman Panwar, AAG
Mr. Manav Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Nakul Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Sanchit Garga, AoR
Ms. Aranya Sahay, Adv.
Mr. Jayamadhava P., Special Deputy Commissioner,
Bengaluru Urban District (Through VC)

For Respondent(s) :

Rs.No.1 & 2 Mr. Kotla Harshavardhan, Adv.
Ms. Sugandha Batra, AoR

Ms. Rhishbha Arora, Adv.
Mr. Ritikesh, Adv.
Mr. Vansh Bhatnagar, Adv.

Rs.No.3 & 4 Mr. H. Chandra Sekhar, AoR

R.No.5 Mr. Manish Kumar Gupta, AoR

O R D E R

At the outset, Mr. Aman Panwar, learned AAG for the State of Karnataka submits that pursuant to the order of this Court dated 15.07.2026, substantive steps have been taken by Mr. Jayamadhava P., Special Deputy Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District for recovery of amount of Rs.50,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Lakhs) from the respondent no.5. However, it was submitted that the amount in the bank account of the respondent no.5 was about Rs.44,000/- (Rupees Forty Four thousand) and the same has been attached, but the property in the name of respondent no.5 was transferred in favour of the wife of respondent no.5 only on 31.03.2026, which clearly indicates that it was to frustrate/circumvent the directions of this Court issued *vide* order dated 19.02.2025 directing for payment of Rs.50,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Lakhs) by the respondent no.5 before the Tribunal.

2. Learned AAG further submits that various formalities are required to be completed before the said property is

finally auctioned. However, it is submitted that the property, as per the market value, would be over Rs.50,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Lakhs). Thus, it was submitted that the said property would be able to satisfy the amount, which the respondent no.5 is liable to deposit, for the present, in terms of the order of this Court dated 19.02.2025. Therefore, he prays that the matter be adjourned for four weeks.

3. Accordingly, as prayed for by learned AAG for the State of Karnataka, list this matter on 08.10.2026 at 2.00 p.m.

4. In the meantime, Mr. Aman Panwar, learned AAG for the State of Karnataka, who is assisting the Court on behalf of the officers of the State Government, particularly, the Special Deputy Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District, shall file further affidavit bringing on record the up-to-date position.

(POOJA SHARMA)
AR-CUM-PS

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR