

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1511 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Genus Power Infrastructures Ltd.

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Arunava Sikdar

...Respondent(s)

RP of Jaipur Metals & Electricals Ltd.

Present:

For Applicant(s) : Mr. Harin P. Raval, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ruchir Mishra, Mr. Vardhman Kaushik, Mr. Dhruv Joshi, Ms. Reba Jena Mishra, Ms. Urmi H. Raval, Ms. Shreshtha Narayan, Ms. Shreya Bansal, Mr. Arindam Sarin, Ms. Priya Nair, Advocates.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Ashu Kansal, Advocate.

O R D E R
(Hybrid Mode)

07.09.2026: **IA No. 6273 of 2026-** Ld. Counsel for the Appellant submits that after the opinion of the Hon'ble Member to whom the matter was referred, the judgment has been passed by the Regular Bench on 19.06.2026 the copy of the same may kindly be taken on record.

No objection in this regard has been raised by Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

The application is thus allowed and the order dated 19.06.2026 passed by the Ld. Adjudicating Authority is taken on record.

Heard Ld. Counsel for the Appellant as well as the Respondent and perused the record.

At the outset, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent has taken an objection that the appeal is barred by limitation as the same has been filed beyond 45 days. In this regard it is submitted that the appeal has been filed against the order dated 09.06.2026 and thereafter order has been passed by the Regular Bench on 19.06.2026 and the amendment application has now been filed by Appellant on 01.09.2026 therefore, the amendment application would be beyond time.

Ld. Counsel for the Appellant submits that keeping in view orders dated 09.06.2026 and 19.06.2026, the appeal has been filed within time and the amendment application moved by the appellant will be disposed of on its own merits.

We have perused the report submitted by the office which says that the impugned order has been passed on 09.06.2026 and the appeal has been e-filed on 08.07.2026 and if we exclude the first day whereon the order has been passed the appeal appears to be within thirty days. Therefore, no delay has been reported by the office.

Ld. Counsel for the Respondent appears to be banking on an amendment application which has been subsequently moved by the appellant. We are of the considered view that the amendment application which has been moved by the appellant would be taken to its logical end after providing an opportunity of being heard to the Respondent, however so far as the Appeal as it stands today appears to be within time and therefore the same requires consideration.

The Sole Respondent is represented before us. As prayed two weeks' time is granted for the purpose of filing reply to the appeal, interim stay application bearing IA No. 5807 of 2026, as well as to the amendment application bearing IA No.6337 of 2026 with an advance copy to Ld. Counsel for the Appellant who in turn if so wish may file rejoinder within one week thereafter.

List on **12.10.2026** under the caption 'For Admission (After Notice)'.

[Justice Mohammad Faiz Alam Khan]
Member (Judicial)

[Naresh Salecha]
Member (Technical)

sr/mr