

ITEM NO.27

COURT NO.6

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.19315/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04-05-2026 in WP No. 30430/2025 passed by the High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amravati]

NEELAPU NEELIMA & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

IDBI BANK LTD & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 28-07-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Puppala Santhosh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Kavana Rao, Adv.
Ms. Sadhana Madhavan, Adv.
Ms. G. Sushmitha, Adv.
Mr. Sravan Kumar Karanam, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Nithin Paul, Adv.
Mr. Krishan Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Our Order dated 29-5-2026 reads thus:-

"1. Exemption Application is allowed.

2. The total amount due and payable by the petitioners to the Bank is Rs.47,42,531/- (Rupees Forty Seven Lakh, Forty Two Thousand Five Hundred and Thirty One)

3. Ms. Anitha Shenoy, the learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten Lakh) has already been deposited by her clients, however, the same has not been credited in the loan account. She has instructions from her clients to make a Statement that Rs.10,00,000/- would be deposited within a period of 2 days from today with the Bank in addition to Rs.10,00,000/- which has already been deposited and the balance amount of Rs. 27,42,531/-

(Rupees Twenty Seven Lakh, Forty Two Thousand, Five Hundred and Thirty One) would be deposited on or before 22nd June, 2026 as fixed by the High Court for further hearing of the Writ Petition.

4. Since the petitioners have expressed their willingness to deposit the amount, we are inclined to issue notice and order that status-quo be maintained, returnable on 28-7-2026.

5. We make ourselves very clear that insofar as the impugned Order is concerned, we find no error in the same. The petitioners have no case on merits. However, since the entire dwelling unit is at stake and the petitioners are ready and willing to deposit this amount, we have shown this indulgence."

2. Today, when the matter was taken up for further hearing, Ms. Anita Shenoy, the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the Order, referred to above, has been fully complied with.

3. Mr. Nithin Paul, the learned counsel appearing for the Bank confirms about the compliance of our order referred to above.

4. In view of the aforesaid, nothing further is required to be adjudicated.

5. Mr. Paul, at this stage, submitted that the petitioners still owe an amount of Rs.3,00,000/- and odd. There is no basis for this particular demand made by the Bank. We reject it.

6. Since the entire amount, as directed, has been duly paid by the petitioners, the proceedings pending before the DRT should now be closed and the title-deeds of the property mortgaged by the petitioners shall be handed over to the original owner of the secured asset.

7. With the aforesaid, this petition stands disposed of.

8. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)