

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**COPC No.691 of 2026****Date of Decision: 17.07.2026**

Shirar Resort & Spa

....Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Agrawal and others

...Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, Judge

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : Mr. Vivek Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Balram Sharma, Deputy Solicitor General of India, with Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. B.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General, for respondents No.3 & 4.

Sushil Kukreja, Judge (Oral)

Compliance affidavit has been filed, wherein it has been stated that the Committee examined the application against the criteria and mandatory requirements set out in Clause 7.3 of the Scheme and observed that, in the absence of a budgetary allocation, the application could not be considered eligible for registration.

1 Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. Therefore, in this view of the matter, no further orders are required to be passed in the present contempt petition and, accordingly, the same is closed and disposed of. Notice discharged.

3. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to seek avail appropriate remedy, as available to it under the law.

The petition is disposed of, so also pending application(s), if any.

July 17, 2026
(V. Himalvi)

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge