

ITEM NO.68

COURT NO.5

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 35450/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28-11-2024 in WRITC No. 15180/2024 31-10-2025 in CMRA No. 571/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

M/S SPARROW SOFTWARE LLP

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 200576/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 200579/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

**IA No. 200573/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES**

Date : 07-09-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE**

**For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Anand Varma, AOR
Mr. Ayush Gupta, Adv.**

For Respondent(s) :

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

- 1. Delay condoned.**
- 2. Despite due service of notice, no one has entered appearance on behalf of the respondents.**
- 3. This Special Leave Petition is directed against order dated 28.11.2024 and 31.10.2025. By order dated 28.11.2024, the High Court in view of statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, disposed of the writ petitions with the direction to pay**

restoration charges as condition for processing the functional certificate. The petitioner thereafter filed a review petition which was dismissed vide order dated 31.10.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that against the order dated 28.03.2023 issued by the New Okhla Industrial Development Authority (NOIDA), the petitioner has filed a revision which is pending before the State Government. It is further submitted that the State Government has already granted the benefit of restoration of leases without levying the restoration charges to similarly situate persons. It is, therefore, prayed that this Special Leave Petition be disposed of with the direction to the State Government to decide the revision expeditiously without being influenced by the observations contained in the impugned orders.

5. In view of the aforesaid submission and in the facts and circumstances of the case, the State Government is directed to decide the revision preferred by the petitioner expeditiously without being influenced by the observations made in the impugned orders dated 28.11.2024 and 31.10.2025. It would be open for the petitioner to bring the notice of the revisional authority that the benefit which is being sought in the revision has already been extended to similarly situate persons.

6. Accordingly, the Special Leave Petitions are disposed of.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAPIL TANDON)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIDHI WASON)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR