



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CWP-7525-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.07.2026

Siripal Son of Shri Ram Parshad

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. J.S. Maanipur and Mr. Jaspal Singh, Advocates
for the petitioner

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the respondents

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that the terms of not considering the petitioner for promotion is that the instructions dated 23.04.1990, Annexure P-5 stands withdrawn, however, similarly circumstanced employees have been promoted as J.E., Annexures P-7 to P-9 but on their reversion, the writ petition i.e. CWP-13451-1995 filed by them was allowed on 04.12.2008 and Civil Appeal No.571-2010 was also disposed of on 20.04.2022, Annexure P-13. He submits that his claim be considered in light of the aforesaid judgments.

2. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.

3. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner, expeditiously, in accordance with law, taking note of the aforesaid judgments, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon

doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

10.07.2026

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No