

GAHC040009592023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/331/2023

Sangklee Sidisow and 7 Ors.
S/o Miali Sidisow,
Student of NIT, AP 3rd Year Civil Department, Present Add Resident P Sector
Itanagar, PO/PS Itanagar, Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh.

2: Lishi Rika
Age:
Occupation :
Student of NIT
AP
3rd Year
Electronics and Communication Department
Address P Sector
Itanagar
PO/PS Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Mangkho Blange
Age:
Occupation :
Student of NIT
AP
Civil Department 2nd Year
Address ChandraNagar
PO/PS Itanagar
District Papum Pare
Arunachal Pradesh.

4: Ram Nampe
Age:
Occupation :
2nd Year
Student of NIT

AP in Computer Science Department
Address Chandanagar near Baptist Church
PO/PS Itanagar
District Papum Pare
Arunachal Pradesh.

5: Deep Chader

Age:

Occupation :

Student of NIT

AP

Mechanical Department

3rd Year.

Address Polo Colony

Naharlagun

PO/PS Naharlagun

District Papum Pare

Arunachal Pradesh.

6: Ato Tame Beyong

Age:

Occupation :

Student of NIT

AP

in Civil Department

3rd Year.

Address Chimpu

PO/PS Itanagar

District Papum Pare

Arunachal Pradesh.

7: Maga Tayum

Age:

Occupation :

Student of NIT

AP in Civil Department

2nd Year. Address E Sector Itanagar

PO/PS Itanagar

District Papum Pare

Arunachal Pradesh.

8: Kuru Khokhung

Age:

Occupation :

Student of NIT

AP in Electrical Department 2nd Year

Address E Sector

Naharlagun
PO/PS Naharalgun
District Papum Pare
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The NIT and Anr.
Arunachal Pradesh (NIT, A.P)
Represented by Director (NIT) Jote, Itanagar, District Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh. 2:the National Institute of Technology
Age: 0
Occupation :
Arunachal Pradesh (NIT A.P)

Represented by Registrar (NIT) A.P
Jote
Itanagar
District Papum Pare
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Gumto Kato, Kirmani Lollen,Nyado Karga

Advocate for the Respondent : Gegum Lollen, R P Kakoti, Sr. Advocate,Sanjay Sutradhar,SC(NIT)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 26-08-2026

Heard Mr. G. Kato, learned counsel for the Petitioners.

2. This petition has been filed challenging the decision of the National Institute of Technology, Arunachal Pradesh, whereby the demand of the students, made through an application dated 08.09.2022, seeking permission to form a student body at NIT Arunachal Pradesh in the name of "Student Union of National Institute of Technology, Arunachal Pradesh", has been rejected on the

ground that the existence of a Student Union in the NIT system is neither contemplated nor permitted under the NITSER Act and the Statutes governing the Institute.

3. However, by filing the affidavit, NIT Arunachal Pradesh has indicated that the Institute has now taken a constructive step by approving the formation of a Student Council comprising 9 (nine) posts for the welfare and representation of the students. The Institute has further stated that the said Student Council is intended to provide a structured forum for the students to voice their concerns, participate in appropriate decision-making processes and act as a bridge between the administration and the student community.

4. In view of the aforesaid subsequent development, this Court is of the view that no issue survives for adjudication in the present writ petition. More so, there cannot be any direction or permission to have a Student Union in the form demanded by the students in the Institute unless the same is permissible under the relevant Act and the Statutes governing the Institute.

5. In view of the above, the writ petition has become infructuous.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition stands closed and disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant