

ARJUN
MACHHINDRA
KADAM

Digitally signed by
ARJUN
MACHHINDRA
KADAM
Date: 2026.10.07
18:30:42 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 58 OF 2026
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3856 OF 2022

Vasantlal Kanji Charitable Trust

... Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai & Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Sahil Wagh i/b DM Legal Associates for the Petitioner.
Ms. R. M. Hajare i/b Ms. Komal Punjabi for the Respondent-
Corporation.
Mr. Rohan Bhoir, SE (Maint) K/S Ward present.

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK AND
SANDESH D. PATIL, JJ.
DATED : 7th OCTOBER, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. The petitioner alleges breach of the Order dated 4th July, 2024 passed by this Court. This Court in paragraph Nos.3, 4 and 5 has observed thus:-

“3. The Petitioners had made a detailed complaint/representation on 29th November 2017 inter alia to the BMC raising this grievance.

4. Accordingly, we direct the BMC to dispose of the

Petitioner's complaint/representation dated 29th November 2017 at Exhibit Q to this Petition in accordance with law and in its own merits on or before 29th August 2024. Before deciding the representation, the BMC should hear the Petitioners and the 5th Respondent and then pass a speaking order. Speaking order should be communicated to the parties on or before 29th August 2024.

5. If any of the parties are aggrieved by the BMC's speaking order, they shall be at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law."

3. Learned counsel for the Corporation submitted that due to change of the Assistant Commissioner, the matter had to be re-heard by the new designated Commissioner. Accordingly, the petitioner as well as respondent No.5 were duly heard. The minutes of the proceedings held on 5th October, 2026 at 3.00 p.m. are placed on record. Learned counsel for the Corporation submitted that respondent No.5 is being given a proper opportunity of producing the documents and in any case within a period of two weeks from today, a final order would be passed. The statement is made on instructions from Mr. Rohan Bhoir, SE (Maintenance) K/S Ward, who is personally present in the Court.

4. The assurance is recorded. Speaking order be communicated to the petitioner. In terms of the Order dated 4th July,

2024 passed by this Court, it has already been stated that if any of the parties are aggrieved by the BMC's speaking order, they shall be at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law. We do not want to keep this contempt petition pending anymore.

5. The contempt petition is disposed of.

6. Liberty to apply if the speaking order is not communicated to the petitioner.

(SANDESH D. PATIL, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)