

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 23450/2026

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 08-06-2026 in COM. ARB.P(L) No. 16156/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

XCALIBUR MULTIPHYSICS GROUP S.L. & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

OIL FIELD INSTRUMENTATION (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED Respondent(s)

(IA No. 196217/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No. 196216/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 16-07-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE V. MOHANA

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. D. S. Naidu, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ninad Deshpande, Adv.
Mr. Suyash Pande, Adv.
Mr. Vishwajeet Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Aishwarya Parda, Adv.
Ms. Isha Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Jaydip Pati, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Balbir Singh, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Hetu Arora Sethi, AOR
Ms. Lalit Mohini Bhat, Adv.
Mr. Anirudh Bhat, Adv.
Mr. Sanidhya Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Kanak Bathwal, Adv.
Mr. Nirmal Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Vishesh Malviya, Adv.
Mr. Pranav Narsaria, Adv.
Ms. Disha Jham, Adv.
Mr. Rohan Dakshini, Adv.
Ms. Anuja Bhansali, Adv.
Ms. Charu Trivedi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. We have heard learned senior counsel for the parties.
2. To ensure that there is no ambiguity in understanding the impugned order of the High Court, it is clarified that the directions contained in Paragraph 68 (I) to (K) do not require any interference by this Court. However, the observations in the order shall not be binding and shall be subject to the final outcome of the arbitration proceedings.
3. With this clarification, the Special Leave Petition stands disposed of with pending application(s), if any.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
DY. REGISTRAR