

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9751 of 2026

=====

Amarjit kumar Son of Late Nagendra Rai, resident of Village- Hassanpur,
P.O.- Sultanpur (West), District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
3. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Samastipur.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Patory, District- Samastipur.
5. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Patory, District-Samastipur.
6. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Samstipur.
7. The Circle Officer, Samastipur.
8. The Managing Director, Bihar State Pul Nirman Nigam, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ranjit Kumar Thakur, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (01)
	:	Mr. Md. Irshad, AC to SC-1
For the BRPNL	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Raju Patel, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 07-07-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) to issue an appropriate order/s,
direction/s including a writ preferably in the
nature of mandamus commanding and directing
upon the concerned respondent to make the
payment of compensation to the petitioner whose*



land has been used for the purpose of construction of Hasanpur Maranga 40 feet long and 20 feet wide bridge without consent and following the land acquisition proceedings.

(ii) to direct the concerned respondent to make the payment of compensation to the petitioner as per current market value of adjoining land.

(iii) to take legal action against the contractor of respondent Bihar Pul Nirman Nigam who has forcibly commenced construction of bridge over the land of the petitioner.

(iv) to any other relief/s to which the petitioner may be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be presenting his fresh representation alongwith supporting document before the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Samastipur within a period four weeks.

4. Learned State counsel submits that if such



petitioner is preferred, it will be taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the concerned respondent, the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Samastipur in next four weeks and if such petition alongwith supporting documents are filed, the aforesaid respondent shall be taking up the matter and it is expected that a reasoned order is passed at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

