



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 7TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 26288 OF 2023 (LB-TAX)

BETWEEN:

R. HANUMANTHAPPA AND SON
(A REGISTERED PARTNERSHIP FIRM)
NO.56/1-2, HANUMANTHAPPA
BUILDING
OLD PUNA-BENGALURU
ROAD, DAVANAGERE - 577 002
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING
PARTNER SRI. R.H. RAMNAG

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. S V PRAKASH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF URBAN
DEVELOPMENT, M S BUILDING
DR B R AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
BENGALURU - 560 001
2. DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPAL
ADMINISTRATION, 9TH FLOOR,
PODIUM BLOCK, V V TOWER
BENGALURU - 560 001
3. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT
CHITRADURGA - 577 001





4. CHITRADURGA CITY MUNICIPAL
COUNCIL, CHITRADURGA-577001
BY ITS COMMISSIONER

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. BOPANNA BELLIAPPA, AGA FOR R1 TO R3
SRI. S MAHESH, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DECLARING THAT THE PROPERTY TAX ASSESSED BY THE R-4 IN RESPECT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PETITIONER WITHOUT ALLOWING THE PETITIONER TO FILE THE TAX EVERY YEAR AS PROVIDED UNDER SECTION 5 OF THE ACT AS ARBITRARY, ILLEGAL AND CONTRARY TO LAW. DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT TO ALLOW THE PETITIONER FIRM TO FILE THE TAX RETURNS EVERY YEAR IN RESPECT OF ITS PROPERTY AS PROVIDED U/S 105 OF THE ACT FOR THE YEAR 2019-20 AND ONWARDS COST OF THIS PROCEEDINGS.

THIS WRIT PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD



ORAL ORDER

Sri S V Prakash, the learned counsel for the petitioner, has filed a memo for withdrawal of the petition which reads as under:

“The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in the captioned petition the petitioner sought for issuance of a writ to declare that the action of the fourth respondent directing the petitioner to pay the assessment of tax on the building and vacant area owned and possessed by it. Now the lis between the petitioner and the fourth respondent has been amicably settled. Hence, the above writ petition may be dismissed as infructuous by allowing this memo, in the interest of justice.”

The memo is accepted, and the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

**Sd/-
(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE**

AN/-