

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1377 of 2023

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4495 of 2023

CNR No. BRHC011160562023

-
-
1. Pramendra Sah, son of Late Balmiki Sah, resident of Village - Bakhari
Nagar Panchayat, P.S. -Bakhari, District -Begusarai.
 2. Babalu Sah @ Bablu Sah, Son of Late Rameshwar Sah resident of Village-
Dhamaraghat, P.S. Mansi, District - Khagaria.
 3. Mukesh Mahto, son of Late Shankar Mahto, resident of Village - Pandav
Nagar Near Olapur Railway Station, P.S.- Gangour, District - Khagaria.
 4. Murari Kumar Saha, son of Late Thakur Prasad Sah, resident of Village
-Murliganj, P.S. Murliganj, District - Madhepura

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The General Manager, East Central Railway Zone Hajipur, District- Vaishali
2. The Divisional Railway Manager, East Central Railway Division,
Samastipur, Dist.- Samastipur.
3. The Divisional Commercial Manager East Central Railway Division,
Samastipur, District Samastipur.
4. The Railway Station Master, Salauna Station, District- Begusarai.
5. The Railway Station Master, Dhamaraghat Station, Dist.- Khagaria.
6. The Railway Station Master, olapur Station, Dist.- Khagaria.
7. The Railway Station Master, Murliganj Station Dist Madhepura.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Bhubneshwar Mahto, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Alok Kumar, CGC



**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER**

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH)

3 28-10-2026

Ref.: I.A. No.1 of 2024

The present interlocutory application has been filed for condoning the delay of 164 days, which has taken place in filing the present appeal against the judgment dated 25.04.2023 passed in CWJC No.4495 of 2023.

2. The learned counsel for the appellants submits that on account of delayed communication of the impugned order dt. 25.04.2023 to the appellants by their counsel, delay of 164 days has taken place in filing of the present appeal, hence the same be condoned.

3. The learned counsel for the respondents has not seriously opposed the prayer made in the present application.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the appellants and taking into account the averments made in the present interlocutory application, we find that sufficient cause has been shown so as to warrant condonation of delay of 164 days in filing the present appeal.

5. Accordingly, delay of 164 days, which has taken



place in filing the present appeal is condoned. I.A. No.1 of 2024 stands allowed.

Ref.: LPA No.1377 of 2023

6. The present appeal has been filed against the judgment dated 25.04.2023 passed in CWJC No.4495 of 2023, however, at the outset, the learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants do not propose to press the present appeal, however they shall be approaching the respondent authorities for redressal of their subsisting grievances.

7. Accordingly, the present appeal stands disposed of as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

GAURAV S./-

U			
---	--	--	--

