



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

107

CWP-21321-2026

Date of decision : 16.07.2026

HC/PR Satnam Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. J.S. Mohri, Advocate for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to count the daily wage service of the petitioners, rendered by them as Special Police Officer before their respective regularization, as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits as the same benefit has been granted by this Court in view of judgment passed in ***CWP-2371-2010 titled as 'Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab' decided on 31.08.2010*** to similarly situated persons in ***CWP-9936-2017 titled as 'Sukhjeet Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others' decided on 15.02.2018*** (Annexure P-4).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievances, the petitioners have also served legal notice dated 08.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said legal notice, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.



CWP-21321-2026

-2-

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Rohit Ahuja, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide legal notice dated 08.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners.

16.07.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No