

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-3197-2026

Date of decision: 15.07.2026

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Raja Shekhar Vandru, Additional Chief Secretary,
Department of Transport, Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Piyush Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, petitioner prays for initiating contempt proceedings for violating the order dated 06.04.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CWP No.9990 of 2026 titled *Raj Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others*.

2. The operative portion of the order dated 06.04.2026 is as under:-

"In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, respondent No.3-General Manager, Haryana Raodways. Yamuna Nagar Depot. Yamuna Nagar, is directed to decide the legal notice dated 10.03.2026 (Annexure P-7), in view of judgment passed by this Court in CWP-25743-2024 decided on 04.10.2024, after providing due opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The order so passed shall be furnished to the petitioner within one week thereafter."

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has placed on record a copy of the order dated 08.07.2026 passed by the General Manager, Haryana



Roadways, Yamuna Nagar, whereby, after considering the legal notice dated 10.03.2026 of the petitioner, his claim has been rejected.

5. Counsel for the petitioner concedes that the petitioner was duly given an opportunity of hearing before passing of the said order.

6. In view of the above, nothing else is required to be done. Counsel for the petitioner submits that other similarly situated persons have been given the benefits, but not the petitioner. In case it is so and the petitioner is not satisfied with the order dated 08.07.2026 as placed on record today, he shall be at liberty to avail of such remedy as may be available to him in accordance with law.

7. Disposed of.

15.07.2026

Yogesh

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No