

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.9

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petitions for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) Nos. 2985-2986/2024

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17-03-2023 in BA No. 885/2023 17-03-2023 in BA No. 887/2023 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

M/S SEAGULL MARITIME AGENCIES PRIVATE LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF GNCTD & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 40438/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 40964/2024 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA No. 127127/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA No. 123384/2025 - PERMISSION TO PLACE ON RECORD SUBSEQUENT FACTS)

Date : 08-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Siddhartha Agarwal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ankur Chawla, Adv.
Mr. Mudit Jain, Adv.
Ms. Aditi Pujari, Adv.
Mr. Aamir Khan, Adv.
Ms. Adya Shree Dutta, Adv.
Mr. Jayant Mohan, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Mrs. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.
Mrs. Ruchi Kohli, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Anupriya Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Chitrangda Rastravara, Adv.

Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Adv.
Mr. Udayaditya Banerjee, AOR
Mr. Shadman Ahmed Siddiqui, Adv.
Ms. Shubhangi Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Kartik Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Sabbarwal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The challenge is to the order dated 17.03.2023.
3. The aforesaid order directs the respondents to surrender before the Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate on a particular date and in case their bail application is dismissed, they shall not be taken into the custody for one week.
4. In pursuance of the above order, the respondents have appeared before the Court concerned and their bail application came to be decided on 09.05.2025 which order is under challenge before the High Court.
5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the order impugned had out lived its life and nothing remains for adjudication.
6. Accordingly, we do not deem it necessary to exercise our discretion under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.
7. The present petitions are, accordingly, disposed of. However, as also stated in the order impugned, any observation made therein would not come in way of any Court in deciding the matter on merits.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(LOKESH ARORA)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(NIDHI MATHUR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)