

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
AT CHENNAI
(APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

Company Appeal (AT) (CH) (Ins) No.382/2026
(IA Nos.1092, 1093 & 1094/2026)

In the matter of:

Gulam Mustafa Enterprises Pvt Ltd **...Appellant**

Vs

Piramal Enterprises Ltd **...Respondent**

Present :

For Appellant : Mr. Vijith, Advocate
For Mr. Arjun Rao, Advocate
For Respondent : Mr. AS Vishwajith, Advocate

ORDER
(Hybrid Mode)

11.08.2026:

We are satisfied with the reasons stated in para II of (6) to (10) of IA No.1092/2026, and accordingly we condone the delay of 4 days. Accordingly, **IA No.1092/2026** is allowed.

We are satisfied with the reasons stated in para II of (8) to (10) of IA No.1094/2026, and accordingly the delay of 2 days in refiling would stand condoned. Accordingly, **IA No.1094/2026** is allowed.

The learned counsel for the appellant submits that this appeal is directed against an order passed in IA No.1088/2025 in CP(IB) No.48/BB/2023, which the appellant had taken out for directing the respondent to issue certain NOC. In the meanwhile, the appellant was admitted to CIRP at the instance of another Financial Creditor.

The learned counsel submits that the appellant is in the process of challenging the said order and requires that this appeal be taken up for admission along with another appeal.

Mr. AS Vishwajith takes notice for the sole respondent and submits that in view of the admission of the appellant to CIRP, the present appeal itself has become infructuous.

List this appeal on **28.09.2026**, under the same caption.

[Justice N. Seshasayee]
Member (Judicial)

[Jatindranath Swain]
Member (Technical)

VG/MS/AK