

ITEM NO.12

COURT NO.4

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 35977/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16-09-2026 in APO No. 56/2026 passed by the High Court at Calcutta]

HINDUSTHAN NATIONAL GLASS AND INDUSTRIES LIMITED **Petitioner(s)**

VERSUS

SANJAY SOMANY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 311755/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 311753/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

Diary No(s). 63432/2026 (XVI)

IA No. 316617/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 319407/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA No. 316616/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE PETITION (SLP/TP/WP/..)

Date : 15-10-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE**

**For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Venkitasubramani Giri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhimanyu Bhandari, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Rooh-e-hina Dua- 3014, AOR
Ms. Shrutika Garg, Adv.
Ms. Dhvani Arora, Adv.**

**Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Atmaram Nadkarni, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Utsav Trivedi, Adv.
Mr. Avishkar Singhvi, Adv.
Ms. Manini Roy, Adv.
Ms. Nandini Acharya, Adv.
Mr. Shubh Jain, Adv.
Ms. Kiran Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Surabhi Mehta, Adv.
M/S. Tas Law- 3363, AOR**

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Meneka Guruswamy, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Puspall Chakraborty, Adv.
 Ms. Ruhini Dey- 4207, AOR

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Parijat- 4345, AOR
 Ms. Arushi Vig, Adv.
 Mr. Arik Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. S. Niranjan Reddy, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Raghenth Basant, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Sonali Jain- 3722, AOR
 Mr. Mudit Gupta, Adv.
 Mr. Rishabh Parikh, Adv.
 Ms. Hima Bharadwaj, Adv.
 Ms. Srishti Agarwal, Adv.
 Mr. Vansh Verma, Adv.
 Ms. Anjali Singariya, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

1. Permission to file Diary No(s). 63432/2026 is granted.
2. Having heard learned senior counsel appearing on both the sides, we see no justification to interfere with the interim order(s) passed by the High Court at this stage.
3. The Special Leave Petition(s) are, accordingly, dismissed.
4. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
 ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIDHI WASON)
 ASSISTANT REGISTRAR