



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

Date of Decision: 15.07.2026

1. RFA-970-2023 (O&M)

RAKESH AND OTHERS

...Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

And

Sr. No.	Case No.	Appellant(s)	Respondent(s)
2.	RFA-1031-2023 (O&M)	KAMLA ALIAS BIMLA DEVI AND OTHERS	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
3.	RFA-52-2023 (O&M)	MURTI	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
4.	RFA-360-2025 (O&M)	RAM KANWAR	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate for appellants

Mr. Arun Singhal, Advocate for appellant
(in *RFA-52-2023*)

Mr. Abhinash Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate with
Ms. Surbhi Rana, Advocate for respondent-HSIIDC

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned appeals, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common



order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from *RFA-970-2023*.

2. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that delay in filing any appeal which is part of present bunch may be condoned. Accordingly, delay, if any, in any case, stands condoned.

3. Learned counsel for parties are *ad idem* that instant appeals may be disposed of in terms of order dated 06.07.2026 passed by this Court in *RFA-1391-2022*.

4. In the wake of statement of both sides, instant appeals of landowners stand dismissed in terms of *RFA-1391-2022*.

5. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

15.07.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No