



Date: 07th July, 2026

To,
The Manager,
Listing Department,
BSE Limited,
SME Division
P. J Towers, Dalal Street,
Mumbai — 400001

Subject: Disclosure under Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Ref: Scrip Code: - 544189 - SATTRIX INFORMATION SECURITY LIMITED

Dear Sir/Madam,

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 ("Listing Regulations"), we are pleased to inform you that Satrix Information Security Limited has secured a significant order from one of India's leading public sector banking institution.

Also further note that the details required as per SEBI Circular for Corporate Announcement filed under Regulation 30 of SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015 is enclosed herewith Annexure-A.

Kindly take the above on your records.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

**For Satrix Information Security Limited,
(Formerly known as Satrix Information Security Private Limited)**

SACHHIN KISHORBHAIGAJJAER
Managing Director
DIN: 06688019

The details as required under Regulation 30 of the SEBI Listing Regulations, 2015 are as under:

SR. No.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of the entity awarding the order(s)/contract(s)	India's leading public sector banking institution.
2.	Significant terms and conditions of order(s)/contract(s) awarded in brief	Managed Security Services for cyber security defence center.
3.	Whether order(s)/ contract(s) have been awarded by domestic/international entity;	Domestic Entity
4.	Nature of order(s) / contract(s)	Managed Security Services for cyber security defence center.
5.	Whether domestic or international	Domestic
6.	Time period by which the order(s)/contract(s) is to be executed	Total duration of three years.
7.	Broad consideration or size of the order(s)/contract(s)	Size of Contract – 9,30,00,000.00
8.	Whether the promoter/ promoter group / group companies have any interest in the entity that awarded the order(s)/contract(s)? If yes, nature of interest and details thereof	Nil
9.	Whether the order(s)/contract(s) would fall within related party transactions? If yes, whether the same is done at “arm’s length”.	No