

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO. _____ OF 2026
[DIARY NO. 68525 OF 2025]

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 27231 OF 2024

M/S VAIDYA INDUSTRIES

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. Application seeking oral hearing of the review petition in open Court is rejected.

2. There is an enormous delay of 341 days in filing this Review Petition. The application for condonation of delay in filing the Review Petition does not give sufficient cause.

3. Even otherwise, having perused the review petition, we find that there is no error apparent on the face of the record. No case for review under Order XLVII Rule 1 of the Supreme Court Rules 2013 has been established.

4. In view of the above, the Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

5. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any,
is/are disposed of.

.....J.
[PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA]

.....J.
[SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA]

NEW DELHI;
JULY 30, 2026.

ITEM NO.1001

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 68525/2025 in SLP(C) No. 27231/2024

M/S VAIDYA INDUSTRIES

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 308562/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION
IA No. 308559/2025 - ORAL HEARING

Date : 30-07-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The Review Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
2. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIDHI WASON)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)