

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
AT CHENNAI

(APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

Company Appeal (AT) (CH) (Ins) No. 338/2026
IA Nos. 962 & 963/2026

In the matter of:

**Jindal Saw Limited (SRA of
M/s. Sathavahana Ispat Limited)**

...Appellant

Vs

Employees' Provident Fund Organisation

...Respondent

Present :

For Appellant : Mr. E. Omprakash, Senior Advocate
For Mr. Aditya Bakshi & Mr. Vijay K Singh, Advocates

With

Company Appeal (AT) (CH) (Ins) No. 339/2026
IA Nos. 964 & 965/2026

In the matter of:

**Jindal Saw Limited (SRA of
M/s. Sathavahana Ispat Limited)**

...Appellant

V

Employees' Provident Fund Organisation & Anr.

...Respondents

Present :

For Appellant : Mr. E. Omprakash, Senior Advocate
For Mr. Aditya Bakshi & Mr. Vijay K Singh, Advocates

ORDER
(Hybrid Mode)

15.09.2026:

These twin appeals are preferred by the successful resolution applicant to challenge the order of the learned Adjudicating Authority vis-à-vis certain claims of EPFO.

According to the appellant, certain amounts have already been set aside for this purpose and have also been paid to the EPFO.

Notice to respondents by all modes except through substituted service. Notice is also directed to the counsel for EPFO who appears before the learned Adjudicating Authority.

There shall be an order staying recovery of the claim.

List the matter on **09.10.2026**.

**[Justice N Seshasayee]
Member (Judicial)**

**[Jatindranath Swain]
Member (Technical)**

SN/MS/AK