

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 4003 of 2026

Surinder Singh son of Harbans Singh **Petitioner**
Versus
Union of India through Central Bureau of Investigation
... .. **Opp. Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jaspreet Singh Rai, Senior Advocate
(through V.C.)
: Mr. Amritansh Vats, Advocate
: Mr. Kumar Abhishek, Advocate
: Mr. Arpan M. Ekka, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Deepak Kumar Bharti, Advocate

07/03.09.2026 Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with R.C. No. 02(A)/2022-R for the alleged offences under Sections 409, 420, 120-B, 467, 468,471,109 of the Indian Penal Code and under section 13(2) read with Section 13(1) (d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1998, said to be pending in the court of learned AJC, XVIII-cum-Spl. Judge, CBI, Ranchi.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR and has fully co-operated during investigation and the investigation is also over. The petitioner has been running a business since 1980 in the name and style of Surindra Tourist Transport Services. In connection with the National Games, he was to provide transport services in that connection. As per the terms and conditions, an amount of Rs. 2,29,47,900/- was extended to the petitioner as advance. He further submits that the dates of national games were rescheduled time and again and the petitioner was in readiness to perform his part. The learned counsel has also stated that the bank guarantee was also furnished and the same was also extended at the instance of the State/organizers of the National Games. The learned counsel submits that investigation is over and there is no need for any custody of the petitioner. The petitioner is ready to co-operate with the trial.

4. The learned counsel for the opposite party has opposed the prayer and has referred to page 137 of the anticipatory bail application and has submitted that a letter was issued to the petitioner to refund the aforesaid amount along with interest, but the petitioner did not refund the aforesaid amount and entire money has been embezzled. The learned counsel for the opposite party has submitted that he has no instruction as to whether petitioner has co-operated with the investigation or not but the fact remains that investigation is over.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and apparently the dispute relates to the amount of money which was given to the petitioner as advance and the investigation is already over, this court is inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned court below within a period of 15 days from today and in the event of his surrender/arrest, the petitioner above named shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty-Five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned AJC, XVIII-cum-Spl. Judge, CBI, Ranchi, in connection with R.C. No. 02(A)/2022-R, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

6. This anticipatory bail application is accordingly allowed.

7. Let this order be communicated to the concerned court through FAX/e-mail.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 03.09.2026
Uploaded on 03.09.2026
Binit/