



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPMS/3244/2026</u> Om Pal Singh & others --Petitioners Versus State Of Uttarakhand & others --Respondents <u>Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.</u> Mr. Abhijay Negi and Ms. Snigdha Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioners. 2. Mr. Yogesh Pandey, learned Deputy Advocate General along with Mr. Sushil Vashisth, learned Standing Counsel for the State. 3. Petitioners have challenged the notice dated 29.09.2026, annexure-2 to the writ petition, which was served on the general public, including the petitioners residing in Indira Basti, Kankhal, Haridwar, directing them to vacate their alleged illegal possession over the State property. 4. Petitioners contend that they have been in possession of the land for the last 50 to 60 years and the process for regularization of their possession has been pending since 1998 before the Mela Adhikari, Haridwar. 5. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that, vide order dated 20.10.2016, Nagar Nigam, Haridwar, identified this 'Indira Basti, Kankhal' (Entry No.13 of the said public notice) for regularization under the Rules, 2016, as mentioned in the aforesaid public notice. 6. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that, owing to their long-standing possession of the land and their habitation thereon, their possession deserves to be regularized. He further



submits that representation was submitted by the President of the Jan Adhikari Sangathan on 07.10.2026 to the District Magistrate, Haridwar, seeking regularization of their possession over the land concerned.

7. This Court, vide order dated 14.10.2026, directed learned State Counsel to get instructions as to whether the representation made by the petitioners' organization, i.e., Jan Adhikari Sangathan, has been decided or not.

8. Today, learned Deputy Advocate General supplied instructions to this Court, which are taken on record. On the basis of the instructions received, he submits that the matter is still under consideration and petitioners have not yet been dispossessed.

9. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, the writ petition is finally disposed of directing the petitioners to submit their representations separately within three days from today to the District Magistrate, Haridwar ventilating their grievances and mentioning the grounds for regularization. The District Magistrate, Haridwar is further directed to decide the representations so submitted by the petitioners, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of such representations, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all stakeholders.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
15.10.2026

AK