

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 7110 of 2026

Vikram Aggarwal : Appellant

Vs

CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai : Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated September 17, 2026 (received by SEBI through RTI MIS portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated September 23, 2026, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal dated September 24, 2026 (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/26/00362). I have perused the application, the response of the respondent and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** - The appellant, in his application, sought the following information:

“Subject Records relating to Indian Hotels Company Limited SCORES complaint SEBIE MH25 MUMB 018205 1

I request copies of existing records held by SEBI concerning SCORES complaint SEBIE MH25 MUMB 018205 1 received on 12 May 2025 against The Indian Hotels Company Limited Please provide

1. *Copy or extract of the complete SCORES Action History transaction history and audit history for this complaint including all date time stamps status changes and workflow events*
2. *Record showing the original complaint registration date category subject and all supporting documents recorded in SCORES*
3. *Copy of the entity ATR dated 28 May 2025 and all associated attachments and system metadata including reference 6895529 1*
4. *Copy of the first level review request dated 28 May 2025 and the record of assignment to the Designated Body*
5. *Copy of the Designated Body ATR dated 29 May 2025 and all associated attachments notes and system metadata*
6. *Copy of the second level review request dated 29 May 2025 and records showing assignment or copy marking to SEBI*

7. *Copy of the ATR submitted to SEBI on 30 May 2025 and all associated attachments notes and system metadata*
 8. *Any SEBI OLAE note review record workflow note or internal communication concerning the second level review and final disposal*
 9. *Any system event status code workflow entry note communication or user action by which the complaint was disposed of on 2 June 2025*
 10. *Any record showing classification referral routing or transfer of the complaint in whole or in part to Market Intelligence MINE or the Market Intelligence portal including date time destination and any reference number generated*
 11. *Any record showing whether information from the SCORES complaint or attachments was transmitted to Market Intelligence rather than merely redirected to the complainant*
 12. *If any record contains exempt material please provide the severable non exempt portion under Section 10*
 13. *If any requested record does not exist is not held cannot be located or was never created please state that fact against the relevant request number Please provide the information electronically in searchable PDF form This application seeks existing records only and does not request opinions explanations legal conclusions or creation of new information Vikram Shamsunder Aggarwal 17 September 2026”*
3. **Reply of the Respondent** – The respondent, in response to the application, enclosed along with his response a copy of the action history and ATR provided by Indian Hotels Company Limited. The respondent has also informed that the ATR of the complaint can be accessed by the appellant by logging in to SCORES portal. The respondent also mentioned that appellant can refer to Frequently Asked Questions(FAQs) available on SCORES and SEBI website.
4. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that he was provided incomplete, misleading or false information.
5. I have perused the application and the response provided thereto. I note that the respondent has enclosed a copy of the action history of appellant’s SCORES complaint and the ATR provided by Indian Hotels Company Limited with his reply. Further, respondent has also informed that appellant can refer to FAQs on SCORES and SEBI website. Accordingly, I find that the respondent has adequately addressed the application.

6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent.
The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

**RUCHI
CHOJER** Digitally signed by
RUCHI CHOJER
Date: 2026.10.16
15:06:51 +05'30'

RUCHI CHOJER

Place: Mumbai

Date: October 16, 2026

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**