

ITEM NO.19

COURT NO.9

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 15507/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 08-07-2026 in WP No. 510/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras]

M/S GANIT SCIENCE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND ANALYTICS (OPC) PVT. LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF TAMIL NADU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 249132/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 249134/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 249131/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 24-08-2026 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. V. Sivanandaraj, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sivagnanam Karthikeyan, Adv.
Mr. Sachin S, Adv.
Mr. Rajagopal Vasudevan, Adv.
Ms. Charita Singh, Adv.
Mr. Tushar Giri, AoR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Shoeb Alam, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Cibi Vishnu, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Raghunath, AoR
Mr. Namanjeet Singh Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. B. Karunakaran, AoR
MR. Kamesh Goud, Adv.

O R D E R

Heard Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Shoeb Alam, learned Senior Counsel for the respondent No.8/contesting respondent and Mr. B. Karunakaran, learned AoR for the respondent No.1-State of Tamil Nadu.

2. Having considered the matter, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, we do not find any infirmity in the order impugned warranting interference by this Court.

3. Accordingly, this Special Leave Petition stands disposed of.

4. However, after the order was passed, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and the contesting private respondents have multiple *inter se* litigation pending and that the Court may consider the desirability of sending the parties for mediation.

5. Learned Senior Counsel for the respondent No. 8 submits that if a request for mediation is made before the appropriate forum, the respondent No. 8 shall consider such a request.

6. Before parting, in view of the apprehension raised by learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, we make it clear that the present order shall not preclude the parties from approaching the appropriate forum, if any, in accordance with law, in respect of any grievance that they may have against each other. All rights and contentions are left open, including in respect of the closure report submitted in the present case.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SACHIN KUMAR SRIVASTAVA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR