

ITEM NO.35

COURT NO.3

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 22238/2026

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 29-05-2026 in WP(C) No. 3885/2026 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

**S&P INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPERS
PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.**

Respondent(s)

IA No. 183751/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE I/JUDGMENT

Date : 30-06-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR
(PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH)**

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General (through VC)
Mr. Nishant Awana, AOR
Ms. Rini Badoni, Adv.
Ms. Rebecca Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Gs Awana, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Chaudhary, Adv.
Ms. Pooja Chand Dwivedi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev K. Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Dhritiman Roy, Adv.
Ms. Sania Abbasi, Adv.
M/s Khaitan & Co., AOR

Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Liz Mathew, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Pragya Agrawal, AOR
Mr. Navneet, Adv.
Mr. Jatin Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Omkar Hemanth, Adv.
Mr. Bankim Garg, Adv.
Mr. Shantanu Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Jagrit Vyas, Adv.
Mr. Divyam Suri, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Having heard Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Solicitor General for India, Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, learned Senior Counsel appearing on caveat for respondent no.2 supporting the petitioner and Mr. Gaurav Chopra, learned Senior Counsel appearing on caveat for the respondent no.1, we find no good ground to interfere with the impugned judgment/order.
2. At this stage, Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Solicitor General for India drawing attention to paragraph 76 of the impugned order, submits that the direction should not be construed to be coming in the way of the petitioner exercising any other right under the notice inviting tender.
3. Needless to add that the impugned order has only dealt with the rejection of the first respondent's bid as Non-Responsive. If there are any other rights vested in the petitioner under the tender conditions, they would absolutely not be affected.
4. With these observations, the Special Leave Petition is disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(NIRMALA NEGI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(MANOJ KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)