

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S). No. 1033 of 2025

1. Baijnath Ray, aged about 65 years, S/o Ram Dayal Ray, R/o Karma Path, Dela Toli, P.O. & P.S. Bariatu, District Ranchi, Jharkhand;
2. Kamal Chandra Jha, aged about 65 years, S/o late Lok Nath Jha, R/o Hamidganj Ward No. 4, Near Vinay More, P.O. & P.S. Medininagar, District Palamu, Jharkhand.
..... **Petitioners**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand, through the Principal Secretary, Department of Higher, Technical Education & Skill Development, Government of Jharkhand, Office at 3rd Floor, Yojana Bhawan, Nepal House, P.O and P.S. Doranda, District Ranchi, Jharkhand 834002.
2. The Director, Higher Education, Department of Higher, Technical Education & Skill Development, Government of Jharkhand, Office at 3rd Floor, Yojana Bhawan, Nepal House, P.O and P.S. Doranda, District Ranchi, Jharkhand 834002.
3. Nilamber-Pitamber University, through the Registrar, Office at Medininagar, P.O. & P.S Medininagar, District Palamu, Jharkhand.
4. The Vice Chancellor, Nilamber-Pitamber University, Office at Medininagar, P.O. & P.S Medininagar, District Palamu, Jharkhand.
5. The Registrar, Nilamber-Pitamber University, Office at Medininagar, P.O. & P.S Medininagar, District Palamu, Jharkhand.
..... **Respondents.**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioners : Mr. Baibhaw Gahlaut, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Mithilesh, GA-IV
Dr. A.K. Singh, Advocate

03/ 09.07.2026 The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioners for the following reliefs:

“(A) For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, direction upon the Respondents to pay the arrears of salary of the Petitioners for the period of 01.01.1996 to 31.03.2000 under the 5th Pay Fixation that has not been paid to the Petitioners even after the regularization of the services of the Petitioners by Ranchi University pursuant to the judgment dated 12.10.2004 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 6098 of 1997.”

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the instant writ application may be disposed of by directing the concerned respondent to look into the matter and pass an appropriate order.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that since no counter-affidavit has been filed as such the petitioners may be directed to file fresh representation before the respondents for redressal of their respective grievance.

4. Having regard to the fair submission made by learned counsel for the parties, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the respondent-Registrar, Nilamber-Pitamber University to treat this writ application as representation and take a decision in the matter in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order and if the case of the petitioners is found to be suitable; then it will recommend the case before respondent-State who shall take a necessary decision in the matter within a further period of 4 weeks.

5. As a result, the instant writ application is disposed of in the manner indicated herein above.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

9th July, 2026
kunal/-
Uploaded
09.07.2026