



WEB COPY



W.P.No.23268 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19.06.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

W.P.No.23268 of 2026

Hero Fincorp Limited
Through its Authorised Officer,
B. Anand Kumar
Having Registered office at
34, Community Centre,
Besant Lok, Vasanth Vihar,
New Delhi 110 057

And also having Branch office at
Regus Towers,
No.2, Harrington Road, 8th Floor,
KRM Plaza, South Tower,
Chennai - 600 031.

Petitioner

Vs

1.R.R. Thevar Chicken
Through its Proprietor,

2.R.Mayilvahanan
Proprietor

Page 1 of 5



W.P.No.23268 of 2026

3.M. Murugeswari
Respondents 1 to 3
residing at
Having its office at
No 44, Market Street, Sembium,
Perambur, Chennai,
Tamilnadu - 600 011.

Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the Hon'ble Debts Recovery Tribunal-III, Chennai to dispose of S.A.No.616 of 2024 by fixing a stipulated time frame in accordance with law.

For Petitioner: Mr.V.Balasubramani

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

This petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeks a direction in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the Debts Recovery Tribunal-III, Chennai, to take up and dispose of S.A.No.616 of 2024, within a time frame fixed by this Court.

2. We have gone through the order sheets placed on record and find that the case is progressing. Any direction to expedite a specific case out of turn would disrupt the board of the Tribunal. The petitioner has failed to demonstrate any exceptional circumstance that



W.P.No.23268 of 2026


distinguishes its case from other litigants waiting in queue before the Tribunal. The Tribunal should prioritize cases based on their seniority, nature of urgency and statutory mandate. It is empowered to regulate its procedure and accelerate hearings if a genuine case of urgency is made out. Issuing blanket direction for quick disposal would put undue pressure on the Tribunal and, consequently, the persons who approached the Tribunal earlier would be left at a disadvantaged position.

3. We are, therefore, not inclined to give such a direction to decide the case within a time frame. However, the petitioner would get liberty to file appropriate application before the Tribunal to expedite the hearing.

With this liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI, CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
19.06.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
bbr



W.P.No.23268 of 2026

To:

WEB COPY

The Debts Recovery Tribunal-III,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.23268 of 2026

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

bbr

W.P.No.23268 of 2026

19.06.2026

Page 5 of 5