SYED ROSHAN ZAMIR ALI

Digitally signed by SYED ROSHAN ZAMIR ALI
Date: 2026.11.05 19:19:49 +0530

CGHC010414072026

2026:CGHC:47

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1750 of 2026

1. Chandrashekhar Rathore S/o Uttam Rathore Aged About 29 Years R/o Village Bandhua Para Saragaon, District- Janjgir-Champa (Chhattisgarh)

... Applicant

versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Officer In Charge, Police Station, Champa, District- Janjgir-Champa (Chhattisgarh)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Ashutosh Mishra, Advocate
For Non-applicant	:	Ms. Evleen Juneja, Panel Laywer

SB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

05/11/2026

1. This is first bail application filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of anticipatory bail to applicant who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.521/2026 registered at Police Station Champa, District Janjgir Champa under Section 306 of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2026.

2. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that in between 24.09.2026 and 26.9.2026, theft of Rs.10,00,000/-, which was kept in the drawer of office chamber of M/s Champions Ceramics Private Limited, has been committed by the applicant, who was employed as Executive (Liaoning) in the said company.

3. Learned counsel for applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent and has not committed the offence as alleged. Applicant has been working as Executive (Liasoning) in Champions Ceramics Pvt. Ltd. for the last about three months. There are many other employees working in the said firm, there is no specific overtact alleged against the applicant and FIR is lodged against unknown person. There is no other criminal antecedent against the applicant, he is ready to co-operate with the Police in investigation and also to abide by all terms and conditions that may be imposed by this Court while granting anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the submission of learned counsel for the applicant and submits that on 24.9.2026 the complainant went to Champa after keeping Rs.10,00,000/- in a drawer of office table, however, when he returned from Champa, the amount was missing from the drawer of office table. On inquiry being made, the employees have either shown ignorance or denied having taken the same. In support of her submission, she read out

the statement of Mahesh Kumar Sharma, who is working as Accountant in the firm of complainant.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Taking into consideration the submission of learned counsel for respective parties and nature of allegations and further considering the statement of Mahesh Kumar Sharma read out by learned State Counsel reflecting that apart from the complainant, he was also aware of the money kept by the complainant in drawer of office table, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I am inclined to release the applicant on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is allowed. It is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with aforementioned crime, he shall be released on bail his executing a personal bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer concerned. The applicant shall also abide by following conditions :-

(i) he shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) he shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

iv) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of trial.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

roshan/-