



CNR: KAHC010600532026

NC: 2026:KHC:46242
WP No. 26898 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 26898 OF 2026 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

SRI B U VASANTH KUMAR
S/O LATE SRI BV UMAKANTH
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS
R/AT NO. 37/79, 20TH MAIN ROAD,
2ND BLOCK,
RAJAJI NAGAR,
BENGALURU - 560 010

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. OJASWI, ADVOCATE FOR

SRI. PAWAN SHYAM A.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE BENGALURU WEST CITY CORPORATION,
CONSTITUTED UNDER THE GREATER BENGALURU
GOVERNANCE ACT, 2024,
REPRESENTED BY ITS COMMISSIONER,
BENGALURU 560 001





CNR: KAHC010600532026

NC: 2026:KHC:46242
WP No. 26898 of 2026

2. THE JOINT COMMISSIONER
MALLESHWARAM ZONE-2,
BENGALURU WEST CITY CORPORATION,
NO.161, 19TH MAIN ROAD, 2ND BLOCK,
B.D.A. C.C. BUILDING,
RAJAJINAGAR,
BENGALURU - 560 010
3. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
RAJAJINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
BENGALURU WEST CITY CORPORATION,
RAJAJINAGAR,
BENGALURU - 560 010

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PAWAN KUMAR, ADVOCATE)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER BEARING NO. JAM. A (MADA) /PR/116/26-27 DATED 30.07.2026 PASSED BY THE R2 UNDER SECTION 243(2) (e) READ WITH SECTION 244(1) OF THE GREATER BENGALURU GOVERNANCE ACT, 2024 VIDE ANNEX-E; QUASH THE PROVISIONAL ORDER BEARING NO. NAM A (MADA)/BEM. PA NA PA/PR/116/2025-26 DATED 20.03.2026 PASSED UNDER SECTION 243(3)(C) AND THE SHOW CAUSE NOTICE OF EVEN NUMBER AND EVEN DATE ISSUE UNDER SECTION 243 (3) (d) OF THE GREATER BENGALURU GOVERNANCE ACT, 2024 VIDE ANNEX-B AND C.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS,
THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD



CNR: KAHC010600532026

NC: 2026:KHC:46242
WP No. 26898 of 2026

ORAL ORDER

The question for consideration in this petition is: *whether this Court must interfere with the Confirmation Order under Section 243(3)(e) of the Greater Bengaluru Governance Act, 2024 [for short, 'the GBG Act'] and the Communication thereof.* Mr. Ojaswi, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that this Court must intervene under 226 of the Constitution of India because **[a]** the petitioner has not been given an opportunity, **[b]** there is no inspection of the property and **[c]** that the impugned Confirmation Order is dated even prior to the Provisional Order.

It would suffice for this Court to observe that all these grounds could also be examined in the statutory appeal under Section 250 of the GBG Act that the petitioner may file against the Confirmation Order, and therefore, this Court is not inclined to



CNR: KAHC010600532026

NC: 2026:KHC:46242
WP No. 26898 of 2026

interfere with the Confirmation Order in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

At this stage, Mr. Ojaswi submits that this Court may protect the petitioner from immediate coercive measures so that the petitioner has a reasonable time to avail statutory remedy. This Court is only inclined to observe that if the petitioner avails the statutory remedy along with an application for interim order, the Appellate Authority must consider the same expeditiously without rendering the appeal nugatory.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE

RB