

s/L 46
08.10.2026
Court No.04
B.K.N

M.A.T. 1689 of 2026
With
CAN 1 of 2026
The West Bengal Central School
Service Commission & Anr.
Vs.
Sujit Mondal & Ors.

Mr. Indranil Roy, Sr. Adv.,
Mr. Sunit Kr. Roy

...for the S.S.C.

Mr. Ujjal Ray,
Mr. Subhajyoti Das

...for the Writ Petitioner/Respondent No. 1.

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya

...for the W.B.B.S.E.

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today be kept on record.
2. Heard the learned advocate for the appellants and the learned advocate representing the writ petitioner/respondent no. 1.
3. The writ petitioner's claim before the Hon'ble Single Judge Bench was deprivation of posting to Tiljala Brajanath Vidyapith due to extraneous considerations and despite exercise of options on the Utsashree Portal long back. The order dated 25.09.2026 was passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge Bench keeping in background such grievance.
4. It is submitted by the learned advocate for the appellants that the vacancy in question claimed by the writ petitioner is already enlisted in the second SLST, a large scale exercise of recruitment which is in compliance of orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.
5. The learned advocate submits that without going into merits of the allegation leveled by the writ petitioner before the Hon'ble Single Judge Bench, he has impressed

upon the authorities to resolve the issue and a list of ten school emerged from the department within Kolkata from which a posting can be made available to the petitioner, if he is desirous of availing any posting from the list.

6. The learned advocate for the writ petitioner/respondent no. 1 submits that copy of the list has been handed over to him just now. He has no instructions from his client in this regard.
7. In view of the resolution offered by the State to the writ petitioner/respondent no. 1, and since we are informed that the matter is to be listed before the Hon'ble Single Judge Bench tomorrow, we allow the petitioner to avail instructions, and to intimate the decision in this regard before the Hon'ble Single Judge Bench in the pending writ proceeding.
8. With such observation the present appeal is disposed of.
9. Pending application, if any, is also disposed of.
10. Since the State has taken steps for bonafide resolution of the petitioner's claim and such claim is being considered by the writ petitioner/respondent we are of the view that the authorities need not comply with the direction contained in paragraph 7 of the order dated 25.09.2026 passed in the pending writ proceeding, which would be subject to further order/s that may be passed in the pending writ proceeding.
11. We make it clear that all issues are left open.

12. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J.)

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)