



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 1467 OF 2026
CNR No. ODHC010015212026

Capital Academy of Nursing, Khordha* *Petitioner

Mr. Rabi Narayan Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others* *Opp. Parties

Mr. Subha Bikash Panda,
Additional Government Advocate
(For the State)

Mr. Rajani Chandra Mohanty, Advocate
(For the DMET)

CORAM:

JUSTICE KRUSHNA RAM MOHAPATRA
JUSTICE MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO

ORDER

19.08.2026

Order No.

4. 1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. The Petitioner in this writ petition prays for the following relief:

“Therefore, in light of issues raised, arguments advanced, it is humbly prayed that this Hon’ble Court may graciously be pleased to:

- a. Admit the writ petitio, issue notice to the Opp. Parties by calling upon them to file show cause as to why the non-recommending list for the academic year 2025-26 issued vide its order dated 04.06.2025 at Annexure-8 at Sl. No.145 of the petitioner college by not recommending the renewal of NOC for the academic session 2025-26 shall not be quashed as the renewed fire safety certificate at Annexure-11 and in the event of insufficient show cause or failure of the Opp. Parties to show cause, make the rule absolute by setting aside order dated:-04.06.2025 of*



*the Opp. Party NO.3 at Annexure-8 at Sl. No.145
And*

- b. Issue Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing to the Opp. Party No.2 & 3 to grant a new NOC for continuing the academic session 2025-26 in favour of the petitioner college for the interest of justice, And*
- c. Pass any other Writ/Writs and order(s)/direction (s) that your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice, equity and good conscience.*

And for this act of kindness, the petitioner shall as in duty bound, ever pray.”

3. It is submitted by Mr. Mohanty, learned counsel for the Petitioner that the academic session for which the writ petition was filed, has already elapsed in the meantime. Hence, the writ petition has become infructuous. He has filed a memo in Court stating that the Petitioner wants to withdraw the writ petition in order to file a fresh one with better particulars.

4. As the writ petition relates to the academic session, which has already elapsed, we are not inclined to entertain the memo filed in Court today.

5. Mr. Mohanty, learned counsel for the DMET, submits that nothing remains to be adjudicated in the writ petition.

6. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(K.R. Mohapatra)
Judge

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge