

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

CA (AT) (Ins) No. 1655 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Tekram Yadav & Ors.

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Ducturus Resolution Professional Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.
Present:

...Respondent(s)

For Appellant(s) : Appearance not marked.
For : Mr. Abhirup Dasgupta, Mr. Rahul Gupta, Mr. Rahul
Respondent(s) Dadhich, Ms. Vagisha Tiwari, Ms. Surbhi Sachdeva,
Advocates for R-1/RP.
Mr. Abhijeet Sinha, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Pranjit
Bhattacharya, Ms. Nitya Prabhakar, Ms. Shambhavi
Tripathi, Advocates for R-2 and 3.
Mr. Gaurav Mitra, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Srishti Juneja,
Mr. Dhananjay Patel, Advocates for R-4.

With

CA (AT) (Ins) No. 1658 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Tekram Yadav & Ors.

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Ducturus Resolution Professional Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.
Present:

...Respondent(s)

For Appellant(s) : Appearance not marked.
For : Mr. Abhirup Dasgupta, Mr. Rahul Gupta, Mr. Rahul
Respondent(s) Dadhich, Ms. Vagisha Tiwari, Ms. Surbhi Sachdeva,
Advocates for R-1/RP.
Mr. Krishnendu Datta, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Pranjit
Bhattacharya, Ms. Nitya Prabhakar, Ms. Shambhavi
Tripathi, Mr. Yash Tandon, Advocates for R-2.

ORDER
(Hybrid Mode)

09.09.2026: Having regard to the consensus arrived at between ld. Counsel for the parties CA (AT) (Ins) No. 1655 of 2026 is connected with CA (AT) (Ins) No. 1658 of 2026.

Ld. Counsel for the parties are in agreement that if a short time may be granted to the Respondents to file reply and to the appellant for file the rejoinder the appeal may be disposed of on merits finally.

In view of this, we grant only three days' time as indicated by Ld. Counsel for the Respondents to file the reply with an advance copy to Ld. Counsel for the appellant who in turn if so wish may file rejoinder within five days thereafter.

List on **18.09.2026** under the same caption within first five cases of the cause list.

[Justice Mohammad Faiz Alam Khan]
Member (Judicial)

[Naresh Salecha]
Member (Technical)

sr/mr