



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.26329 of 2026

CNR No. ODHC010625172026

Md. Siraj

....

Petitioner

Mr. Khusal Mittal, Advocate

-versus-

***Ircon International Ltd.,
New Delhi***

....

Opp. Party

CORAM:

JUSTICE MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO

ORDER

29.08.2026

(Hybrid Mode)

Order No.

01.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. The petitioner by filing the writ application has made the following prayer:

(a) issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing the Opposite Party to forthwith dispose of Annexure 1, 2 and 3 to and furnish to the Petitioner certified copies of all the documents specifically sought vide his letters dated 06.02.2026, 18.02.2026 and 06.04.2026, within a time bound manner with a speaking order as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit;"

3. Apparently, the prayer is in two parts, the 1st being the consideration by the authority the Annexure-1 dated 06.01.2026, Annexure-2 dated 18.02.2026, and Annexure-3 dated 06.04.2026.



Annexure-1 is an application made by the petitioner seeking certified copy of the original documents should be provided.

The provision of providing certified copy of orders is applicable to Courts and certified copy can be obtained of documents if there is any such provision in law.

4. The petitioner has applied for providing him documents from Ircon International Ltd., Plot No. C-4, District Centre, Saket, New Delhi, which by any stretch of imagination is not a Court which can provide the certified copy.

The Annexure-2 is again an application for certified copy of original documents made to the self-same company.

The petitioner has not stated whether Ircon International Ltd. is 'State' under Article 12 of the Constitution of India, so as to make it amenable to the writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Though it has been stated at paragraph-2 of the writ application that the opposite party is substantially financed, controlled and owned by the Central Government no details are indicated.

5. In considered view, this Court cannot issue direction much less a writ of mandamus to a Company to provide 'certified copy' of certain papers as sought for by the petitioner.



- 6.** Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.
The petitioner, if so advised shall find his remedy.

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge

Radha