



CGHC010292772020



2026:CGHC:39205

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPT No. 115 of 2020

* - Vandana Medical Stores Through Its Director, Mr. Madan Lal Jain, Aged About 69 Years, S/o Late Mr. Lal Chand Jain, Office At Vandana Medical Stores, Jeevan Plaza, Ganjpara, Durg, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Commissioner, Commercial Tax - GST Department, North Block, Sector-19, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - The Office Of The Joint-Commissioner (Enforcement) State Tax, Commercial Tax Office, Civil Lines, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - Chhattisgarh Medical Services Corporation Limited Through Its Managing Director, North-West Commercial Complex, Sector-27, Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh General Manager, Equipment, Chhattisgarh Medical Services Corporation Limited. North-West Commercial Complex, Sector-27, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

4 - The Office Of The Assistant Commissioner State Tax, Circle-IV, Durg, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ayush Mittal, Advocate holding the brief of Mr. Sabyasachi Bhaduri, Advocate
----------------	---	--

For Respondents No. 1, 2 & 4	:	Mr. Ajay Kumrani, Panel Lawyer
------------------------------	---	--------------------------------

For Respondent No. 3	:	Mr. Raghvendra Pradhan, Advocate
----------------------	---	----------------------------------

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

07/09/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking the following reliefs:-

“10.1 This Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for record of entire tender process which is subject matter of the present petition.

10.2 That the Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to set-aside the impugned order of attachment dated 01.10.2020 passed by the Respondent No. 4 and thereby direct the Respondent No. 3 to release the outstanding payment of the Petitioner.

10.3 That the Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the Respondent Corporation to make good remittance of the payment pending to be made to petitioner for the services already rendered by the petitioner.

10.4 That the Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other relief(s)/ order(s)/ direction(s) in favour of petitioner, which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice.

10.5 Cost of the petition.”

2. Mr. Ayush Mittal, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would fairly submit that during pendency of this petition, the order impugned dated 01.10.2020 has been recalled by the respondent authorities. He would contend that though the order dated 01.10.2020 has been withdrawn, but till date payment against supply has not been released. He would submit that the petitioner may be permitted to make a detailed representation before the respondent No. 3 and in turn, the authority concerned may be directed to take appropriate decision with regard to release of admissible outstanding amount within stipulated time.
3. No objection from other side.
4. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
5. Accordingly, this petition is **disposed of** as the order impugned has already been withdrawn by the respondent No. 3 and at the same, the petitioner is permitted to make a detailed representation before the

respondent No. 3 within a period of 15 days from today, and in turn the authority concerned is directed to consider and decide the representation so made by the petitioner preferably within period of further 30 days in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge