

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 211 of 2026

Ravi Shankar Prasad Petitioner

Versus

1. Mr. Suraj Kumar, Managing Director, Jharkhand State Housing Board, Ranchi

2. The State of Jharkhand Opposite Parties

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner:	Mr. Arvind Kr. Singh, Advocate
For O.P.No.1:	Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate
	Ms. Sweta Shukla, Advocate
For O.P.No.2:	Mr. Pankaj Kr. Choudhary, A.C to S.C (L&C)-II

03/18.07.2026 The present contempt application has been filed against the O.P.No.1 alleging wilful disobedience and non-compliance of the order dated 23.01.2025 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) No. 3093/2024.

2. Ms. Sweta Shulka, learned A.C to Mr. Sachin Kumar, learned counsel for the O.P.No.1, refers to the order dated 29.10.2025 passed by the said opposite party (Annexure-A to the show cause affidavit dated 02.04.2026) and submits that vide said order, he has directed the revenue officer to raise a revised demand upon the petitioner in terms with the aforesaid order of this Court. The petitioner has been further directed to pay the same within the stipulated period and after full and final payment, he has to apply for execution of the lease-deed.

3. It is thus submitted that the aforesaid order of this Court has now been complied by the O.P.No.1.

4. As against this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though pursuant to the order dated 29.10.2025 passed by the O.P.No.1, the petitioner has already paid the demanded amount and has also requested for execution of the lease-deed for the house and the land in question, however, the authorities are still sitting tight over the matter.

5. Be that as it may.

6. Since the O.P.No.1 has already passed a reasoned order in compliance of the aforesaid order of this Court, there is no need to further proceed with the matter.
7. The contempt proceeding as against the O.P.No.1 is dropped.
8. The present contempt application stands disposed of.
9. The petitioner is however at liberty to take appropriate recourse as permissible under law for redressal of his subsisting grievance(s).

Satish/-

(RAJESH SHANKAR, J)