

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4345/2025

K.V. BHARATH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DREAMZ INFRA, INDIA PVT. LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the appellant.

Despite notice having been served, including the alternative mode, none appears on behalf of the respondents.

By the impugned order, the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (hereinafter referred to as the 'NCDRC') has rejected the application of the appellant to implead the Promoter-cum-Managing Director of respondent No.1 - Disha Choudhary as an opposite party in the pending dispute.

Learned counsel appearing for the appellant, by placing reliance on the decision of this Court in *Tejas J. Shah & Amisha T. Shah v. Mantri Technology Constellations Pvt. Ltd.(Now Known as Buoyant Technology Constellations Pvt. Ltd.) & Ors.* - Civil Appeal Nos.4289-4290/2025 dated 27.07.2026, submits that the liability of the promoter, being independent, can go on, despite the moratorium being

applicable to the company.

We find considerable force in the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant.

In the case on hand, the impleading application has been rejected by the NCDRC without issuing notice and going into the issue as to whether there was any improper conduct on the part of respondent No.1.

Additionally, the respondents are not before us despite notice having been served.

In the facts of the present case, we are inclined to hold that the application for impleadment ought to have been allowed by the NCDRC and the contentious issues are to be heard and decided thereafter. Such an exercise has not been done.

The jurisdiction of the NCDRC is rather wide. The rights conferred upon the consumer are also rather broad. Thus, in the light of the facts governing the instant matter, we set aside the impugned order and implead Disha Choudhary, who is the Promoter-cum-Managing Director of the respondent No.1 as an opposite party in the pending proceedings before the NCDRC.

Accordingly, the appeal is allowed.

All issues on merits are left open.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[M.M. SUNDRESH]

.....J.  
[PRASANNA B. VARALE]

NEW DELHI;  
AUGUST 19, 2026.

ITEM NO.26

COURT NO.4

SECTION XVII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 4345/2025

K.V. BHARATH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DREAMZ INFRA, INDIA PVT. LTD. &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 19-08-2026 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Appellant(s) Mr. Chandrachur Bhattacharyya, Adv.  
Mr. Sahil Tagotra, AOR  
Ms. Shreya Kasera, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POONAM VAID)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

[Signed order is placed on the file]