

ITEM NO.20+55

COURT NO.3

SECTION III-B

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).34078-34093/2026  
 [Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13-07-2026  
 in CA No. 1315/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No. 1653/2025 13-07-2026 in CA  
 No. 1807/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No. 1816/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No.  
 1919/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No. 1960/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No.  
 1876/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No. 1243/2026 13-07-2026 in CA No.  
 1877/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No. 2004/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No.  
 1985/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No. 1915/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No.  
 4842/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No. 5121/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No.  
 5141/2025 13-07-2026 in CA No. 1336/2026 passed by the High Court  
 of Gujarat at Ahmedabad]

BILESHWAR CORPORATION

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KARTIK RAMESH SHAH &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 295713/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
 JUDGMENT

IA No. 295716/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 295715/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
 DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

SLP(C) No.29252/2026

(IA No. 249368/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
 JUDGMENT

IA No. 249369/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 28-09-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Nikhil Goel, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Sahil Raveen, AOR  
 Ms. Devanshi Patel, Adv.  
 Ms. Akhila Palem, Adv.  
 Mr. Dhruv Kohli, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tattvam Patel, Adv.  
 Ms. Aastha Mehta, Adv.  
 Ms. Prerana Mohapatra, Adv.  
 Ms. Prina Sharma, Adv.  
 Mr. Anshuman Srivastava, AOR

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Jay Kansara, Adv.  
Mr. Aman Raj Gandhi- 2697, AOR  
Mr. Parthasarathy Bose, Adv.  
Ms. Lavina Bhargava, Adv.  
Mr. Karan Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Raghav Bhatia, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(C) Nos. 34078-34093/2026:

1. We have heard learned senior counsel for the respective parties.
2. Learned senior counsel for the respondents at the outset drew our attention to the fact that on 31.07.2026 itself the appeals were admitted by the High Court and an order of status quo qua the land and/or plots in question was issued till final disposal of the said appeals. He, therefore, submitted that the said fact ought to have been brought to the notice of this Court in SLP (C) No.29252/2026 when this Court issued notice to the respondents and granted an interim stay of the impugned order dated 13.07.2026 until further orders. That it is the very same impugned order which is assailed in this case also. He, therefore submitted that since the appeals have been admitted by the High Court and an interim order has been granted, this Court may not interfere at this stage. Learned senior counsel also pointed out that even in these Special Leave petitions the order dated 31.07.2026 has not been annexed or pointed out.

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner(s) in response to this submission however stated that since notice has been issued and an interim order of stay has been granted by this Court in SLP (C) No.29252/2026 a similar order may be made in this case also.
4. We have noted that the submissions made at the bar. Having regard to the subsequent order of the High Court dated 31.07.2026 as compared to the order impugned dated 13.07.2026, we find that the High Court has decided to hear the appeals on merits. Therefore, we do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order. The Special leave Petitions are hence dismissed.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

SLP(C) No.29252/2026

1. Learned counsel, Mr. Sahil Raveen appearing in the above Special Leave Petition(s) is also an Advocate-on-Record who has filed SLP(C) No.29252/2026 wherein we had issued notice and granted interim order on 22.08.2026. Since this Special Leave Petition also arises out of the impugned order dated 13.07.2026 impugned in the aforesaid Special leave Petition(s), which we have dismissed, we direct that this Special Leave Petition is also taken on board and the same is also dismissed.

2. We do so for the sake of consistency and to avoid contradictory orders passed as against the very same impugned order of the High Court.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(RADHA SHARMA)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(DIVYA BABBAR)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)