

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 129 of 2026 &
I.A. No. 486, 488 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Jitesh Nair

...Appellant

Versus

**Jayesh Sanghrajka (Resolution Professional,
Radius & Deserve Builders LLP) & Ors.**

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : Ms. Neeha Nagpal, Mr. Vishrendra Tomar & Mr. Ishit Patel, Advocates

For Respondents : Ms. Riddhi Sancheti, Mr. Anurag Anand, Mr. Mukul Kulhari, Advocates for R-2
Mr. Amir Arsiwala & Ms. Neha Arya, Advocates for RP

With

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 130 of 2026 &
I.A. No. 489 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Rohit Rajanikant Naik

...Appellant

Versus

**Jayesh Sanghrajka (Resolution Professional,
Radius & Deserve Builders LLP) & Ors.**

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : Ms. Neeha Nagpal, Mr. Vishrendra Tomar & Mr. Ishit Patel, Advocates

For Respondents : Ms. Riddhi Sancheti, Mr. Anurag Anand, Mr. Mukul Kulhari, Advocates for R-2
Mr. Amir Arsiwala & Ms. Neha Arya, Advocates for RP

With

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 131 of 2026 &
I.A. No. 492, 494 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Ritesh Shantibhai Waghela

...Appellant

Versus

**Jayesh Sanghrajka (Resolution Professional,
Radius & Deserve Builders LLP) & Ors.**

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : Ms. Neeha Nagpal, Mr. Vishrendra Tomar & Mr. Ishit Patel, Advocates

For Respondents : Ms. Riddhi Sancheti, Mr. Anurag Anand, Mr. Mukul Kulhari, Advocates for R-2
Mr. Amir Arsiwala & Ms. Neha Arya, Advocates for RP

With

**Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 133 of 2026 &
I.A. No. 498 of 2026**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Manasi Abhijit Pawaskar

...Appellant

Versus

**Jayesh Sanghrajka (Resolution Professional,
Radius & Deserve Builders LLP) & Ors.**

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : Ms. Neeha Nagpal, Mr. Vishrendra Tomar & Mr. Ishit Patel, Advocates

For Respondents : Ms. Riddhi Sancheti, Mr. Anurag Anand, Mr. Mukul Kulhari, Advocates for R-2
Mr. Amir Arsiwala & Ms. Neha Arya, Advocates for RP

With

**Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 135 of 2026 &
I.A. No. 501 of 2026**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Prabhakar Tulshiiram Galande

...Appellant

Versus

**Jayesh Sanghrajka (Resolution Professional,
Radius & Deserve Builders LLP) & Ors.**

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : Ms. Neeha Nagpal, Mr. Vishrendra Tomar & Mr. Ishit Patel, Advocates

For Respondents : Ms. Riddhi Sancheti, Mr. Anurag Anand, Mr. Mukul Kulhari, Advocates for R-2
Mr. Amir Arsiwala & Ms. Neha Arya, Advocates for RP

With

**Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 136 of 2026 &
I.A. No. 506 of 2026**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Prasad Pandurang Rikame

...Appellant

Versus

**Jayesh Sanghrajka (Resolution Professional,
Radius & Deserve Builders LLP) & Ors.**

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : Ms. Neeha Nagpal, Mr. Vishrendra Tomar & Mr. Ishit Patel, Advocates

For Respondents : Ms. Riddhi Sancheti, Mr. Anurag Anand, Mr. Mukul Kulhari, Advocates for R-2
Mr. Amir Arsiwala & Ms. Neha Arya, Advocates for RP

With

**Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 162 of 2026 &
I.A. No. 602 of 2026**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Capacite Infraprojects Ltd.

...Appellant

Versus

Jayesh Natvarlal Sanghrajka & Ors.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Rahul C., Mr. Abhay Singh, Ms. Ankita Agarwal, Ms. Shwapal & Mr. Jishna Adhikari, Advocates
For Respondents : Ms. Riddhi Sancheti, Mr. Anurag Anand, Mr. Mukul Kulhari, Advocates for R-2
Mr. Amir Arsiwala & Ms. Neha Arya, Advocates for RP

With

**Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 179 of 2026 &
I.A. No. 666 of 2026**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Capacite Infraprojects Ltd.

...Appellant

Versus

Jayesh Natvarlal Sanghrajka & Ors.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Rahul C., Mr. Abhay Singh, Ms. Ankita Agarwal, Ms. Shwapal & Mr. Jishna Adhikari, Advocates
For Respondents : Mr. Dharav Shah, Mr. Suyash Goverdhan, Mr. Aayush Maheshwari & Mr. Pranaya Goyal, Advocates for R-2
Mr. Amir Arsiwala & Ms. Neha Arya, Advocates for RP

ORDER
(Hybrid Mode)

06.07.2026 **Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 129 of 2026**

Nobody is present on behalf of the Appellant. However, Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are available.

Having regard, to the request made by the Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 1, we provide two weeks' time to file the reply, with an

advance copy to Learned Counsel for the Appellant, who in turn, if so wish, may file the rejoinder within one week thereafter.

Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No. 2, submits that the reply has already been along with the hard copy. Since the rejoinder has not been filed by the Appellant and today the Appellant is also not represented before us, in the interest of justice, we provide last opportunity to the Appellant to file the rejoinder, if any, to the reply filed by the Respondent No. 2.

Having regard to the request made by the Learned Counsel for the RP, we provide last opportunity to the RP to file the reply.

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 130 of 2026

Nobody is present on behalf of the Appellant. However, Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are available.

Having regard, to the request made by the Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 1, we provide two weeks' time to file the reply, with an advance copy to Learned Counsel for the Appellant, who in turn, if so wish, may file the rejoinder within one week thereafter.

Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No. 2, submits that the reply has already been along with the hard copy. Since the rejoinder has not been filed by the Appellant and today the Appellant is also not represented before us, in the interest of justice, we provide

last opportunity to the Appellant to file the rejoinder, if any, to the reply filed by the Respondent No. 2.

Having regard to the request made by the Learned Counsel for the RP, we provide last opportunity to the RP to file the reply.

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 131 of 2026

Nobody is present on behalf of the Appellant. However, Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are available.

Having regard, to the request made by the Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 1, we provide two weeks' time to file the reply, with an advance copy to Learned Counsel for the Appellant, who in turn, if so wish, may file the rejoinder within one week thereafter.

Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No. 2, submits that the reply has already been along with the hard copy. Since the rejoinder has not been filed by the Appellant and today the Appellant is also not represented before us, in the interest of justice, we provide last opportunity to the Appellant to file the rejoinder, if any, to the reply filed by the Respondent No. 2.

Having regard to the request made by the Learned Counsel for the RP, we provide last opportunity to the RP to file the reply.

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 133 of 2026

Nobody is present on behalf of the Appellant. However, Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are available.

Having regard, to the request made by the Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 1, we provide two weeks' time to file the reply, with an advance copy to Learned Counsel for the Appellant, who in turn, if so wish, may file the rejoinder within one week thereafter.

Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No. 2, submits that the reply has already been along with the hard copy. Since the rejoinder has not been filed by the Appellant and today the Appellant is also not represented before us, in the interest of justice, we provide last opportunity to the Appellant to file the rejoinder, if any, to the reply filed by the Respondent No. 2.

Having regard to the request made by the Learned Counsel for the RP, we provide last opportunity to the RP to file the reply.

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 135 of 2026

Nobody is present on behalf of the Appellant. However, Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are available.

Having regard, to the request made by the Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 1, we provide two weeks' time to file the reply, with an advance copy to Learned Counsel for the Appellant, who in turn, if so wish, may file the rejoinder within one week thereafter.

Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No. 2, submits that the reply has already been along with the hard copy. Since the rejoinder has not been filed by the Appellant and today the Appellant is also not represented before us, in the interest of justice, we provide last opportunity to the Appellant to file the rejoinder, if any, to the reply filed by the Respondent No. 2.

Having regard to the request made by the Learned Counsel for the RP, we provide last opportunity to the RP to file the reply.

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 136 of 2026

Nobody is present on behalf of the Appellant. However, Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are available.

Having regard, to the request made by the Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 1, we provide two weeks' time to file the reply, with an advance copy to Learned Counsel for the Appellant, who in turn, if so wish, may file the rejoinder within one week thereafter.

Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No. 2, submits that the reply has already been along with the hard copy. Since the rejoinder has not been filed by the Appellant and today the Appellant is also not represented before us, in the interest of justice, we provide last opportunity to the Appellant to file the rejoinder, if any, to the reply filed by the Respondent No. 2.

Having regard to the request made by the Learned Counsel for the RP, we provide last opportunity to the RP to file the reply.

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 162 of 2026

Learned Counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 & 3, namely Shri Jayesh Natvarlal Sanghrajka, RP, and Respondent No. 3, Radius & Deserve Builders LLP CD, prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file the reply, with an advance copy to Learned Counsel to the Appellant, who in turn, if so wish, may file the rejoinder within one week thereafter.

Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 2, submits that she has already filed the reply along with the hard copy. Learned Counsel for the Appellant fairly submits that he will not file any rejoinder to the reply filed by Respondent No. 2.

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 179 of 2026

Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 1/RP, prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file the reply, with an advance copy to Learned Counsel to the Appellant, who in turn, if so wish, may file the rejoinder within one week thereafter.

Learned Counsel for the Respondent No. 2, inform that he has already filed the reply along with the hard copy. Learned Counsel for the Appellant fairly submits he will not file any rejoinder to the reply filed by the Respondent No. 2.

We notice that Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 3 & 4, are not represented before us. However, like Respondent No. 2, which is represented before us, they are CoC members.

Thus, we direct the RP i.e., Respondent No. 1 to inform the Respondent Nos. 3 & 4, in writing about the pendency of this appeal as well as passing of this order and file an affidavit of compliance on or before the next date of listing.

Accordingly, list these appeals on **03.08.2026**.

[Justice Mohammad Faiz Alam Khan]
Member (Judicial)

[Naresh Salecha]
Member (Technical)

KS/KM